

# APPLICATION OF MULTIHOMOGENEOUS COVARIANTS TO THE ESSENTIAL DIMENSION OF FINITE GROUPS

ROLAND LÖTSCHER

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate essential dimension of finite groups over arbitrary fields and give a systematic treatment of multihomogenization, introduced in [KLS08]. We generalize the central extension theorem of Buhler and Reichstein, [BR97, Theorem 5.3] and use multihomogenization to substitute and generalize the stack-involved part of the theorem of Karpenko and Merkurjev [KM08] about the essential dimension of  $p$ -groups. One part of this paper is devoted to the study of completely reducible faithful representations. Amongst results concerning faithful representations of minimal dimension there is a computation of the minimal number of irreducible components needed for a faithful representation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we work over an arbitrary base field  $k$ . Sometimes we extend scalars to a larger base field, which will be denoted by  $K$ . All vector spaces and representations in consideration are finite dimensional over the base field. A quasi-projective variety defined over the base field will be abbreviated as a variety. Unless stated otherwise we will always assume varieties to be irreducible. We denote by  $G$  a finite group. A  $G$ -variety is then a variety with a regular algebraic  $G$ -action  $G \times X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto gx$  on it.

The *essential dimension* of  $G$  was introduced by Buhler and Reichstein [BR97] in terms of *compressions*: A *compression* of a (faithful)  $G$ -variety  $Y$  is a dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map  $\varphi: Y \dashrightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a faithful  $G$ -variety.

**Definition 1.** The *essential dimension* of  $G$  is the minimal dimension of a compression  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow X$  of a faithful representation  $V$  of  $G$ .

The notion of essential dimension is related to Galois algebras, torsors, generic polynomials, cohomological invariants and other topics, see [BR97]. There is a general definition of the essential dimension of a functor from the category of field extensions of  $k$  to the category of sets, which is due to Merkurjev, see [BF03]. The essential dimension of  $G$  corresponds to the essential dimension of the Galois cohomology functor  $K \mapsto H^1(K, G)$ . We shall use this only in section 9.

We take the point of view from [KS07], where the *covariant dimension* of  $G$  was introduced: A *covariant* of  $G$  (over  $k$ ) is a  $G$ -equivariant ( $k$ -)rational map  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$ , where  $V$  and  $W$  are (linear) representations of  $G$  (over  $k$ ). The covariant  $\varphi$  is called *faithful* if the image of the generic point of  $\mathbb{A}(V)$  has trivial stabilizer. Equivalently there exists a  $\bar{k}$ -rational point in the image of  $\varphi$  with trivial stabilizer. We denote by  $\dim \varphi$  the dimension of the closure of the image of  $\varphi$ .

---

The author gratefully acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Schweizerischer Nationalfonds).

**Definition 2.** The *essential dimension* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{edim}_k G$ , is the minimum of  $\dim \varphi$  where  $\varphi$  runs over all faithful covariants over  $k$ .

The *covariant dimension* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{covdim}_k G$ , is the minimum of  $\dim \varphi$  where  $\varphi$  runs only over the regular faithful covariants over  $k$ .

The second definition of essential dimension is in fact equivalent to the first definition, which follows e.g. from [Fl08, Proposition 2.5] or from (the first part of) the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $W$  be a faithful representation of  $G$ . Then for every affine unirational faithful  $G$ -variety  $X$  there exists a faithful regular  $G$ -equivariant map  $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$ . If  $X$  contains a  $k$ -rational point  $x_0 \in X(k)$  with trivial stabilizer and  $w_0 \in W$  has trivial stabilizer as well, then  $\psi$  can be chosen such that  $\psi(x_0) = w_0$ :*

*Proof.* Choose  $f \in k[X]$  such that  $f(x_0) = 1$  and  $f(gx_0) = 0$  for  $g \neq e$ , and define a regular  $G$ -equivariant map  $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  by

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} f(gx)g^{-1}w_0.$$

The map  $\psi$  is faithful since  $w_0$  is in the image of  $\psi$ . This shows the second part of the lemma. If  $k$  is infinite this immediately implies the first part since in that case the  $k$ -rational points in  $X$  and  $\mathbb{A}(W)$  are dense.

Now let  $k$  be a finite field and let  $t$  be transcendental over  $k$ . Since  $k(t)$  is infinite we obtain a faithful regular  $k(t)$ -rational  $G$ -equivariant map  $X_{k(t)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W \otimes k(t))$  where  $X_{k(t)} = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k(t)$  is  $X$  with scalars extended to  $k(t)$ . This corresponds to a homomorphism  $W^* \otimes k(t) \rightarrow k[X] \otimes k(t)$  of representations of  $G$  with faithful image, where  $W^*$  is the dual of  $W$  and  $k[X]$  is the affine coordinate ring of  $X$ . Actually we may replace  $k[X] \otimes k(t)$  by  $U \otimes k(t)$  for some finite-dimensional sub-representation  $U \subset k[X]$ . By the following Lemma 2 there exists a homomorphism  $W^* \rightarrow k[X]$  with faithful image, hence a faithful regular  $G$ -equivariant map  $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$ .  $\square$   $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $W$  and  $V$  be (finite-dimensional) representations of  $G$  over  $k$ . Then:*

- *If  $V \otimes k(t)$  is a quotient of  $W \otimes k(t)$  then  $W$  is a quotient of  $V$ .*
- *If  $W \otimes k(t)$  injects into  $V \otimes k(t)$  then  $W$  injects into  $V$ .*
- *If  $W \otimes k(t) \rightarrow V \otimes k(t)$  is a homomorphism with faithful image, then there exists a homomorphism  $W \rightarrow V$  with faithful image as well.*

*Proof.* To show the first claim let  $\pi: W \otimes k(t) \twoheadrightarrow V \otimes k(t)$  denote the quotient map. Since  $t$  is transcendental over  $k$  the kernel of  $\pi$  can be lifted to a representation  $U$  of  $G$  over  $k$ , i.e.  $\ker \pi \simeq U \otimes k(t)$ . Hence

$$(W/U) \otimes k(t) \simeq (W \otimes k(t))/(U \otimes k(t)) \simeq V \otimes k(t).$$

By the theorem of Noether-Deuring this implies  $W/U \simeq V$ , showing the claim. The second claim follows from the first claim and dualization. The third claim follows from the first two applied to  $V \otimes k(t) \twoheadrightarrow X \otimes k(t)$  and  $X \otimes k(t) \hookrightarrow V \otimes k(t)$  where  $X$  is a lift of the image of  $W \otimes k(t) \rightarrow V \otimes k(t)$  to a (faithful) representation of  $G$  over  $k$ .  $\square$   $\square$

We call a faithful regular (resp. rational) covariant *minimal* if  $\dim \varphi = \text{covdim}_k G$  (resp.  $\dim \varphi = \text{edim}_k G$ ). For any faithful representations  $V$  and  $W$  of  $G$  there exists a minimal faithful regular (resp. rational) covariant  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$ . This

is basically another consequence of Lemma 1. At least it shows immediately that the choice of  $W$  is arbitrary and if  $k$  is infinite one can use  $k$ -rational points with trivial stabilizer as in [KS07, Proposition 2.1] to show that  $V$  can be arbitrarily chosen. For arbitrary fields use e.g. [BF03, Corollary 3.16] to see independence of the choice of  $V$ .

In sections 2 and 3 we develop the technique of multihomogenization of covariants and derive some of its basic properties. Given  $G$ -stable gradings  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  and  $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  a covariant  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is called multihomogeneous if the identities

$$\varphi_j(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, sv_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m) = s^{m_{ij}} \varphi_j(v_1, \dots, v_m)$$

hold true. Here  $s$  is an indeterminate and the  $m_{ij}$  are integers, forming some matrix  $M_\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus multihomogeneous covariants generalize homogeneous covariants. A whole matrix of integers takes the role of a single integer, the degree of a homogeneous covariant. It will be shown that the degree matrix  $M_\varphi$  and especially its rank have a deeper meaning with regards to the essential dimension of  $G$ . Theorem 12 states that if each  $V_i$  and  $W_j$  is irreducible then the rank of the matrix  $M$  is bounded from below by the rank of a certain central subgroup  $Z(G, k)$  (the  $k$ -center, see Definition 5). Moreover if the rank of  $M_\varphi$  exceeds the rank of  $Z(G, k)$  by  $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \dim \varphi - \Delta$ . This observation shall be useful in proving (partly new) lower bounds to  $\text{edim}_k G$  and for most applications in the sequel.

In section 4 we study faithful representations of  $G$ , especially faithful representations of small dimension. It is the representation theoretic counterpart to the results on essential dimension obtained in later sections.

Section 5 relates essential dimension and covariant dimension. It is well known that the two differ at most by 1, see the proof of [Re04], which works for arbitrary fields. By generalizing [KLS08, Theorem 3.1] (where  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic 0) to arbitrary fields we obtain the precise relation of covariant and essential dimension in case that  $G$  has a completely reducible faithful representation. Namely Theorem 34 says that  $\text{covdim}_k G = \text{edim}_k G$  if and only if  $G$  (is trivial or) has a nontrivial  $k$ -center, otherwise  $\text{covdim}_k G = \text{edim}_k G + 1$ .

A generalization of a result from [BR97] is obtained in section 6 where the following situation is investigated:  $G$  is a (finite) group and  $H$  a central cyclic subgroup which intersects the commutator subgroup of  $G$  trivially. Buhler and Reichstein deduced the relation

$$\text{edim}_k G = \text{edim}_k G/H + 1$$

(over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0) for the case that  $H$  is a maximal cyclic subgroup of the  $k$ -center  $Z(G, k)$  and has prime order  $p$  and that there exists a character of  $G$  which is faithful on  $H$ , see [BR97, Theorem 5.3]. The above theorem was generalized to arbitrary fields in [Ka06, Theorem 4.5], where for the case of  $p = \text{char } k > 0$  the additional assumption is made that  $G$  contains no non-trivial normal  $p$ -subgroup. Some other partial results were obtained by Brosnan, Reichstein and Vistoli in [BRV07] and [BRV08] and by Kraft and Schwarz and the author in [KLS08]. In this paper we give a complete generalization which reads like

$$\text{edim}_k G = \text{edim}_k G/H + \text{rk } Z(G, k) - \text{rk } Z(G, k)/H,$$

where we only assume that  $G$  has no non-trivial normal  $p$ -subgroups if  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of high enough order. For details see Theorem 35.

Section 7 contains two additional results about subgroups and direct products, both obtained easily with the use of multihomogeneous covariants.

In section 8 we shall use multihomogeneous covariants to generalize Florence's twisting construction from [Fl08]. The generalized technique gives a substitution for the use of algebraic stacks in the proof of the theorem of Karpenko and Merkurjev about the essential dimension of  $p$ -groups, which says that the essential dimension of a  $p$ -group  $G$  equals the least dimension of a faithful representation of  $G$ , provided that the base field contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Actually the twisting construction gives more than that. It yields a conjectural formula for the essential dimension of any group  $G$  whose socle is central (i.e. such that every nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  intersects the center of  $G$  nontrivially) and whose degrees of irreducible representations satisfy some divisibility property. See Corollary of Conjecture 48 for details.

In section 9 we consider the situation when multihomogenization fails. This is the case when  $G$  does not admit a faithful completely reducible representation. That can only happen if  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $G$  contains a nontrivial normal elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup  $A$ . Proposition 49 relates the essential dimension of  $G$  and  $G/A$  by  $\text{edim}_k G/A \leq \text{edim}_k G \leq \text{edim}_k G/A + 1$  when  $A$  is central.

## 2. THE TECHNIQUE OF MULTIHOMOGENIZATION

**2.1. Multihomogeneous maps and multihomogenization.** Most of this section can already been found in [KLS08], where multihomogenization has originally been introduced for regular covariants (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). We give a more direct and general approach here.

Denote by  $X = \text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{G}_m)$  the contravariant functor from the category of commutative algebraic groups (over  $k$ ) to the category of abelian groups, which takes a commutative algebraic group  $\Gamma$  to  $X(\Gamma) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . For example  $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}^r$  if  $T = \mathbb{G}_m^r$  is a split torus of rank  $r = \dim T$ . In particular  $X(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $T = \mathbb{G}_m^m$  and  $T' = \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be split tori. Any homomorphism  $D \in \text{Hom}(T, T')$  corresponds to a linear map  $X(D): X(T') \rightarrow X(T)$  and to a matrix  $M_D \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$  under the canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}(T, T') \cong \text{Hom}(X(T'), X(T)) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}^m) \cong \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$$

In terms of the matrix  $M_D =: (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  the homomorphism  $D$  is then given by

$$D(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t'_1, \dots, t'_m) \text{ where } t'_j = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{m_{ij}}.$$

The above isomorphisms are compatible with composition of homomorphisms  $D \in \text{Hom}(T, T')$ ,  $D' \in \text{Hom}(T', T'')$  on the left side and multiplication of matrices  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $M' \in \mathcal{M}_{n, r}(\mathbb{Z})$  on the right side, where  $T''$  is another split torus and  $r = \text{rk } T''$ . That means that  $M_{D' \circ D} = M_D \cdot M_{D'}$ .

Let  $V$  be a vector space equipped with a decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ . We call  $V$  a graded vector space and associate to  $V$  the torus  $T_V \subseteq \text{GL}(V)$  consisting of those linear automorphisms which are a (non-zero) multiple of the identity on each

$V_i$ . We identify  $T_V$  with  $\mathbb{G}_m^m$  acting on  $\mathbb{A}(V)$  by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_m)(v_1, \dots, v_m) = (t_1 v_1, \dots, t_m v_m).$$

Let  $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  be another graded vector space and  $T_W \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(W)$  its associated torus. Let  $D \in \mathrm{Hom}(T_V, T_W)$ . A rational map  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is called *D-multihomogeneous* if the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_V \times \mathbb{A}(V) & \xrightarrow{(t,v) \mapsto tv} & \mathbb{A}(V) \\ \downarrow D \times \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ T_W \times \mathbb{A}(W) & \xrightarrow{(t',w) \mapsto t'w} & \mathbb{A}(W) \end{array}$$

commutes. The map  $\varphi$  is called *multihomogeneous* if it is *D-multihomogeneous* for some  $D \in \mathrm{Hom}(T_V, T_W)$ . In terms of the matrix  $M_D =: (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  this means:

$$(2) \quad \varphi_j(v_1, \dots, s v_i, \dots, v_m) = s^{m_{ij}} \varphi_j(v_1, \dots, v_m),$$

for all  $i$  and  $j$ , as announced in the introduction.

**Example 1.** Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be a graded vector space. If  $h_{ij} \in k(V_i)^*$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$  are homogeneous rational functions of degree  $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  then the map

$$\psi_h: \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V), \quad v \mapsto (h_{11}(v_1) \dots h_{m1}(v_m) v_1, \dots, h_{1m}(v_1) \dots h_{mm}(v_m) v_m)$$

is multihomogeneous with degree matrix equal to  $M_D = (r_{ij} + \delta_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ .

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a multihomogeneous rational map. If the projections  $\varphi_j$  of  $\varphi$  to  $\mathbb{A}(W_j)$  are non-zero for all  $j$ , then the homomorphism  $D \in \mathrm{Hom}(T_V, T_W)$  is uniquely determined by condition (1). We shall write  $D_\varphi$ ,  $X_\varphi$  and  $M_\varphi$  for  $D$ ,  $X(D)$  and  $M_D$ , respectively. If  $\varphi_j = 0$  for some  $j$  then the matrix entries  $m_{ij}$  of  $M_\varphi$  can be chosen arbitrary. Fixing the choice  $m_{ij} = 0$  for such  $j$  makes  $M_\varphi$  with the property (2) and the corresponding  $D_\varphi$  with the property (1) unique again. This convention that we shall use in the sequel has the advantage that adding or removing of some zero-components of the map  $\varphi$  does not change the rank of the matrix  $M_\varphi$ .

Given an arbitrary rational map  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  we will produce a multihomogeneous map  $H_\lambda(\varphi): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  which depends only on  $\varphi$  and the choice of a suitable one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_V)$ . In section 3 this procedure will be applied to covariants for a group  $G$ .

Let  $\nu: k(V \times k) = k(s)(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  be the discrete valuation belonging to the hyperplane  $\mathbb{A}(V) \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . So  $\nu(0) = \infty$  and for  $f \in k(V \times k) \setminus \{0\}$  the value of  $\nu(f)$  is the exponent in which the coordinate  $s$  appears in a primary decomposition of  $f$ . Let  $O_s \subset k(V \times k)$  denote the valuation ring corresponding to  $\nu$ . Every  $f \in O_s$  can be written as  $f = \frac{p}{q}$  with polynomials  $p, q$  where  $s \nmid q$ . For such  $f$  we define  $\lim f \in k(V)$  by  $(\lim f)(v) = \frac{p(v,0)}{q(v,0)}$  on the dense open subset in  $\mathbb{A}(V)$  where  $q(v,0) \neq 0$ . It is non-zero if and only if  $\nu(f) = 0$ . Moreover  $\nu(f - \lim f) > 0$  where  $\lim f \in k(V)$  is considered as element of  $k(V \times k)$ . This follows from writing  $(f - \lim f)(v, s)$  as

$$\frac{p(v, s)}{q(v, s)} - \frac{p(v, 0)}{q(v, 0)} = \frac{p(v, s)q(v, 0) - q(v, s)p(v, 0)}{q(v, s)q(v, 0)},$$

noting that  $s$  does not divide the denominator, but  $s$  divides the numerator since the numerator vanishes on the hyperplane  $\mathbb{A}(V) \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . This construction can easily be generalized for rational maps  $\psi: \mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  by choosing coordinates on  $W$ . So for  $\psi = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  where  $d = \dim W$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in O_s$  we shall write  $\lim \psi$  for the rational map  $(\lim f_1, \dots, \lim f_d): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$ . One may check that this definition does not depend on the choice of the basis of  $W$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_V)$  be a one-parameter subgroup of  $T_V$ . Consider

$$\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{G}_m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W), \quad (v, s) \mapsto \varphi(\lambda(s)v)$$

as a rational map on  $\mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . For  $j = 1 \dots m$  let  $\alpha_j$  be the smallest integer  $d$  such that all coordinates functions in  $s^d \tilde{\varphi}_j$  are elements of  $O_s$ . Actually that works only if  $\tilde{\varphi}_j \neq 0$ . Otherwise we choose  $\alpha_j = 0$ . Let  $\lambda' \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_W)$  be the one-parameter subgroup corresponding to  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $\lambda'(s) = (s^{\alpha_1}, \dots, s^{\alpha_n}) \in T_W$  for  $s \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . Then for  $\lambda'(s)\tilde{\varphi}(v, s) = \lambda'(s)\varphi(\lambda(s)v)$  considered as a rational map  $\mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  we can take its limit:

$$H_\lambda(\varphi) = \lim ((v, s) \mapsto \lambda'(s)\varphi(\lambda(s)v)): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W).$$

The limit  $H_\lambda(\varphi) = (H_\lambda(\varphi)_1, \dots, H_\lambda(\varphi)_n)$  depends only on  $\varphi$  and the choice of  $\lambda$ . By construction we have for  $j = 1 \dots n$ :  $(H_\lambda(\varphi))_j \neq 0$  if and only if  $\varphi_j \neq 0$ .

It is quite immediate that  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism of tori  $\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \lambda'(\mathbb{G}_m)$  which sends  $\lambda(s)$  to  $\lambda'(s^{-1})$ . However, to get equivariance for the full tori  $T_V$  and  $T_W$  we have to choose the one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda$  carefully. In any case we have the following

**Lemma 3.** *For any one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_V)$  we have*

$$\dim H_\lambda(\varphi) \leq \dim \varphi.$$

*Proof.* Choose a basis in each  $W_j$  and take their union for a basis of  $W$ . Let  $d = \dim W$  and write  $\varphi = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  with respect to the chosen basis, where  $f_j \in k(V)$ . Then  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  is of the form  $(\lim \hat{f}_1, \dots, \lim \hat{f}_d)$  where each  $\hat{f}_j \in O_s \subset k(V \times k)$  is given by

$$\hat{f}_j(v, s) = s^{\gamma_j} f(\lambda(s)v)$$

for some  $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Choose a maximal subset  $S = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  with the property that  $\lim \hat{f}_{j_1}, \dots, \lim \hat{f}_{j_l}$  are algebraically independent. It suffices to show that  $f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_l}$  are then algebraically independent, too. Without loss of generality  $j_1 = 1, \dots, j_l = l$ .

Assume that  $f_1, \dots, f_l$  are algebraically dependent. Let  $p \in k[x_1, \dots, x_l] \setminus \{0\}$  with  $p(f_1, \dots, f_l) = 0$ . Since the algebraic independence implies  $\lim \hat{f}_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 1 \dots l$  we have  $\nu(\hat{f}_j) = 0$ . Set  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l)$  and write  $p$  in the form

$$p = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p_i \quad \text{where} \quad p_i = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^l: \beta \cdot \gamma = -i} c_\beta x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_l^{\beta_l}.$$

Let  $d = \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \exists \beta \in \mathbb{N}^l: \beta \cdot \gamma = -i, c_\beta \neq 0\}$ . That implies  $p_d \neq 0$ . For  $j = 1 \dots l$  there exists  $\delta_j \in O_s \subset k(V \times k)$  such that  $\hat{f}_j - \lim \hat{f}_j = s\delta_j$ . By construction,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s^{-d} p(f_1, \dots, f_l)(\lambda(s)v) = s^{-d} p(s^{-\gamma_1} \hat{f}_1, \dots, s^{-\gamma_l} \hat{f}_l)(v) \\ &= s^{-d} p(s^{-\gamma_1} (\lim \hat{f}_1 + s\delta_1), \dots, s^{-\gamma_l} (\lim \hat{f}_l + s\delta_l))(v) \\ &= p_d(\lim \hat{f}_1, \dots, \lim \hat{f}_l)(v) + sh(v, s), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h \in O_s$ . Taking the limit shows  $p_d(\lim \hat{f}_1, \dots, \lim \hat{f}_l) = 0$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

Now the goal is to find a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_V)$  such that  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  becomes multihomogeneous. We can assume that  $\varphi_j \neq 0$  for all  $j$ . Write  $\varphi$  in the form  $\varphi = \frac{1}{f}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$  where each  $\psi_j: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W_j)$  is regular and  $f \in k[V]$ . The space  $\text{Mor}(V, W_j)$  of regular maps  $\mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W_j)$  carries a representation of  $T_V$  where  $W_j$  is equipped with the trivial action of  $T_V$ . It decomposes into a direct sum  $\text{Mor}(V, W_j) = \bigoplus \text{Mor}(V, W_j)_\chi$  taken over all  $\chi \in X(T_V)$ , where

$$\text{Mor}(V, W_j)_\chi = \{\psi \in \text{Mor}(V, W_j) \mid \psi(t^{-1}v) = \chi(t)\psi(v) \text{ for all } t \in T_V, v \in \mathbb{A}(V)\}.$$

Thus  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  can be written as a sum  $\psi_j = \sum_\chi \psi_j^\chi$  where only finitely many  $\psi_j^\chi$  are different from 0. Similarly  $f \in k[V] = \text{Mor}(V, k)$  has a decomposition  $f = \sum_\chi f^\chi$  with the same properties. Let

$$S(\psi, f) = \{\chi \in X(T_V) \mid f^\chi \neq 0 \text{ or } \exists j : \psi_j^\chi \neq 0\},$$

which is a finite subset of  $X(T_V)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $T$  is a split torus and  $S \subset X(T)$  is a finite subset then there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$  such that the restriction of the map  $X(T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m), \chi \mapsto \chi \circ \lambda$  to  $S$  is injective.*

*Proof.* The claim can easily be shown via induction on the rank  $r = \text{rk } T$  of the torus. Identifying  $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}^r = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$  the above map is given by  $\mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \beta_i$ , where  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  corresponds to  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

We shall write  $\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle$  for the image of  $\chi \circ \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\chi \circ \lambda(s) = s^{\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle}$  for  $s \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . Now let  $\lambda$  be as in Lemma 4 where  $T = T_V$  and  $S = S(\psi, f)$ . Set  $\psi_0 = f$ . Then there are unique characters  $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  such that  $\chi_j \circ \lambda$  is minimal (considered as integer) amongst all  $\chi \circ \lambda$  for which  $\psi_j^\chi \neq 0$ , for each  $j = 0 \dots n$ . Then the rational map  $\mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W_j)$  (or  $\mathbb{A}(V) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  for  $j = 0$ ) given by

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-\langle \chi_j, \lambda \rangle} \psi_j(\lambda(s)v) &= s^{-\langle \chi_j, \lambda \rangle} \sum_\chi \psi_j^\chi(\lambda(s)v) \\ &= s^{-\langle \chi_j, \lambda \rangle} \sum_\chi \chi \circ \lambda(s) \psi_j^\chi(v) \\ &= \sum_\chi s^{\langle \chi - \chi_j, \lambda \rangle} \psi_j^\chi(v) \end{aligned}$$

has limit  $\psi_j^{\chi_j}$ , which implies that  $H_\lambda(\varphi) = \frac{1}{f^{\chi_0}}(\psi_1^{\chi_1}, \dots, \psi_n^{\chi_n})$ . Define the homomorphism  $D \in \text{Hom}(T_V, T_W)$  by

$$D = (\chi_1 \chi_0^{-1}, \dots, \chi_n \chi_0^{-1}).$$

Then  $H_\lambda(\varphi)(tv) = D(t)H_\lambda(\varphi)(v)$ , showing that  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  is  $D$ -multihomogeneous.

**2.2. Existence of minimal multihomogeneous covariants.** We now go over to the case where the graded vector spaces  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  and  $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  are furnished with a representation of  $G$ . We assume that the tori  $T_V$  and  $T_W$  commute with the action of  $G$  on  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. Equivalently, the subspaces  $V_i$  and  $W_j$  are  $G$ -invariant. We will then represent a covariant  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$

as  $\varphi = \frac{1}{f}\psi$  where  $\psi: \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is a regular covariant and  $f \in k[V]^G$ . For  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(T_V, T_W)$  as in Lemma 4 the rational map  $H_\lambda(\varphi): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is then multihomogeneous and has dimension  $\dim H_\lambda(\varphi) \leq \dim \varphi$ . Moreover,  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  is again a covariant, since the weight spaces  $\text{Mor}(V, W_j)_\chi$  and  $\text{Mor}(V, k)_\chi$  are  $G$ -stable, so for  $j = 1 \dots n$  the maps  $\psi_j^\chi$  and in particular  $\psi_j^{\chi_j}$  are covariants for  $G$  and the functions  $f^\chi$  and in particular  $f^{\chi_0}$  are invariants. In general  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  does not have to be faithful if  $\varphi$  is. However:

**Lemma 5.** *If the representations  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  are all irreducible, then  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  is faithful as well.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_j$  and  $N'_j$  denote the stabilizer of the image of the generic point of  $\varphi_j$  and  $H_\lambda(\varphi_j)$ , respectively. It suffices to show  $N_j = N'_j$  for  $j = 1 \dots n$ . If  $\varphi_j$  is zero then  $H_\lambda(\varphi_j) = 0$  as well and  $N_j = G = N'_j$ . In the other case both maps are nonzero and their images are  $G$ -stable subsets of  $W_j \otimes k(V)$  spanning  $W_j \otimes k(V)$  linearly (since  $W_j \otimes k(V)$  is irreducible). Thus  $N_j$  and  $N'_j$  are both equal to the kernel of the action of  $G$  on  $W_j$ . Again  $N_j = N'_j$ .  $\square$   $\square$

Thus if we have a minimal faithful covariant  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  and  $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  is a decomposition into irreducible sub-representations, we can always replace it by the multihomogeneous covariant  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  without losing faithfulness or minimality.

Note that a completely reducible faithful representation  $W$  does not exist for every choice of  $G$  and  $k$ . For example if  $k = \bar{k}$  and the center of  $G$  has an element  $g$  of prime-order  $p$ , then  $g$  acts as a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity on some of the irreducible components of  $W$ . That is only possible if  $\text{char } k \neq p$ . We use the following:

**Definition 3.**  $G$  is called *semi-faithful* (over  $k$ ) if it admits a completely reducible faithful representation (over  $k$ ).

A criterion for a group to admit a completely reducible faithful representation with any fixed number of irreducible components was given by Shoda [Sh30] (in the ordinary case) and Nakayama [Na47] (in the modular case). In particular Nakayama obtained [Na47, Theorem 1] that  $G$  is semi-faithful over a field of  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  if and only if it has no nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroups. One direction follows from Clifford's theorem which says that the restriction of a completely reducible representation to a normal subgroup is again completely reducible and the fact that the only irreducible representation of a  $p$ -group in characteristic  $p$  is the trivial one. For the other implication see Lemma 19. Therefore we get the following

**Corollary 6.** *If either  $\text{char } k = 0$ , or  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $G$  has no nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroup, there exists a multihomogeneous minimal faithful covariant for  $G$ .*

**2.3. Multihomogeneous invariants.** Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be a graded vector space. An element  $f \in k(V)$  is called *multihomogeneous* if it is multihomogeneous regarded as a rational map  $\mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Let  $G$  be semi-faithful and  $V$  a faithful completely reducible representation. The non-zero multihomogeneous invariants form a group under multiplication, denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_G(V)$ . It is a system of generators for the field  $k(V)^G$  of invariants.



**Definition 4.** The *degree module*  $\text{DM}_G(V)$  of  $V$  is the submodule of  $X(T_V) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^m$  formed by the degrees of multihomogeneous invariants, i.e. the image of the group homomorphism  $\text{deg}: \mathcal{M}_G(V) \rightarrow X(T_V)$ ,  $f \mapsto D_f(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{G}_m})$ . Equivalently it is the image of the group homomorphism

$$\prod_{f \in S} X(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow X(T_V)$$

induced by the homomorphisms  $X(D_f): X(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow X(T_V)$ , where  $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  is any finite subset whose degrees generate  $\text{DM}_G(V)$ .

**Definition 5.** The central subgroup

$$Z(G, k) := \{g \in Z(G) \mid \zeta_{\text{ord } g} \in k\}$$

of  $G$  is called the  $k$ -center of  $G$ .

The  $k$ -center of  $G$  is the largest central subgroup  $Z$  for which  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp Z$ . The groups  $Z(G, k)$  and  $X(Z(G, k)) = \text{Hom}(Z(G, k), \mathbb{G}_m)$  are (non-canonically) isomorphic. The elements of  $Z(G, k)$  are precisely the elements of  $G$  which act as scalars on every irreducible representation of  $G$  over  $k$ :

**Lemma 7.** Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be any completely reducible faithful representation. Then  $\rho_V(Z(G, k)) = T_V \cap \rho_V(G)$ .

*Proof.* Since both sides are abelian groups it suffices to prove equality for their Sylow-subgroups. Let  $p$  be a prime ( $p \neq \text{char } k$ ) and  $g \in Z(G)$  be an element of order  $p^l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We must show that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (A)  $g$  acts as a scalar on every  $V_i$
- (B)  $\zeta_{p^l} \in k$ .

Since  $V$  is faithful the order of  $g$  equals the order of  $\rho(g) \in \text{GL}(V)$ , hence the first condition implies the second one. Conversely let  $\rho'': G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_0)$  be any irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then the minimal polynomial of  $\rho''(g)$  has a root in  $k$  since it divides  $T^{p^l} - 1 \in k[T]$  which factors over  $k$  assuming the second condition. Hence  $\rho''(g)$  is a multiple of the identity on  $V'$ . In particular this holds for  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_i)$ , proving the claim.  $\square$   $\square$

Degree module and the  $k$ -center of  $G$  are related as follows:

**Proposition 8.** The sequence  $\mathcal{M}_G(V) \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} X(T_V) \rightarrow X(Z(G, k)) \rightarrow 1$  is exact and in particular  $X(T_V)/\text{DM}_G(V) \cong X(Z(G, k)) \simeq Z(G, k)$ .

*Proof.* Choose a finite subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  such that the degrees of  $S$  generate  $\text{DM}_G(V)$ . We may replace the homomorphism  $\text{deg}: \mathcal{M}_G(V) \rightarrow X(T_V)$  by the homomorphism  $X(\prod_{f \in S} \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow X(T_V)$ , since they both have image  $\text{DM}_G(V)$ . Now the claim becomes equivalent to exactness of the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z(G, k) \rightarrow T_V \rightarrow \prod_{f \in S} \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Exactness at  $Z(G, k)$  follows directly from faithfulness of  $V$ . Denote by  $Q$  the kernel of the last map, which is the intersection of the kernels of the maps  $D_f: T_V \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  taken over all multihomogeneous invariants  $f \in S$ . Clearly  $\rho_V(Z(G, k)) \subseteq Q$  because  $f$  is  $G$ -invariant. On the other hand let  $\tilde{G}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(V)$

generated by  $\rho_V(G)$  and  $Q$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_G(V) = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{G}}(V)$  and therefore  $k(V)^G = k(V)^{\rho_V(G)} = k(V)^{\tilde{G}}$ . This can only happen if  $\rho_V(G) = \tilde{G}$ . By Lemma 7 this implies  $Q = \rho_V(Z(G, k))$ , showing the claim.  $\square$   $\square$

Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a faithful multihomogeneous covariant and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  be multihomogeneous invariants. Then  $\tilde{\varphi} = (f_1\varphi_1, \dots, f_n\varphi_n): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is again a faithful covariant. That induces an action of the group  $\mathcal{M}_G(V)^n$  on the space  $\text{mCov}(V, W)$  of multihomogeneous covariants  $\mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$ , which respects faithfulness. Furthermore we get an action of  $\mathcal{M}_G(V)^n$  on the set  $S = \{X_\varphi: \varphi \in \text{mCov}(V, W)\} \subseteq \text{Hom}(X(T_W), X(T_V))$  of all degrees associated to multihomogeneous invariants. We will identify the group  $\mathcal{M}_G(V)^n$  with the group  $\text{Hom}(X(T_W), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$  by associating to an element  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(X(T_W), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$  the  $n$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  where  $f_j = \gamma(\chi_j)$  for the standard basis of  $X(T_W)$  formed by the characters  $\chi_j: T_W \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, t \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto t_j$ . Then the action on degrees is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(X(T_W), \mathcal{M}_G(V)) \times S &\rightarrow S, \\ (\gamma, s) &\mapsto (\gamma s: X(T_W) \rightarrow X(T_V)) \\ \chi &\mapsto (\deg \gamma(\chi)) \cdot s(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 8 we get

**Corollary 9.** *The group  $\text{Hom}(X(T_W), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$  acts transitively on the set  $S$  of all degree matrices associated to multihomogeneous covariants.*

*Proof.* Let  $s, s' \in S$  and choose  $\varphi, \varphi' \in \text{mCov}(V, W)$  such that  $s = X_\varphi$  and  $s' = X_{\varphi'}$ . Define  $D \in \text{Hom}(T_V, T_W)$  by  $D(t) = D_\varphi(t)D_{\varphi'}(t^{-1})$  for  $t \in T_V$ . Then  $D(z) = 1$  for all  $z \in \rho_V(Z(G, k))$ , since  $D_\varphi$  and  $D_{\varphi'}$  are both the identity on  $\rho_V(Z(G, k))$ . By Proposition 8 this is equivalent to saying that  $X(D) \in \text{Hom}(X(T_W), \text{DM}_G(V))$ . Therefore  $X(D)$  comes from some homomorphism  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(X(T_W), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$ . By construction  $\gamma s' = s$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$   $\square$

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a faithful multihomogeneous covariant. Let  $N_\varphi \in \mathbb{N}$  be the greatest common divisor of the entries of the elements of  $\text{im } X(D_\varphi) \subseteq X(T_V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ , where  $m = \dim T_V$ . Then  $N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi): X(T_W) \rightarrow X(T_V)$  is well defined and its image has a complement in  $X(T_V)$ . We distinguish between two types of elements of  $\text{Hom}(X(T_W), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$  relative to  $\varphi$ :

**Definition 6.** A homomorphism  $\gamma: X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  is called of

- *type I relative to  $\varphi$*  if it factors through  $N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi): X(T_W) \rightarrow X(T_V)$ , i.e. if there exists a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(T_W) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{M}_G(V) \\ & \searrow N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi) & \nearrow \\ & X(T_V) & \end{array}$$

- *type II relative to  $\varphi$*  if the image of  $\gamma$  equals the image of  $\ker X(D_\varphi) \hookrightarrow X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$ .

**Proposition 10.** *Every homomorphism  $\gamma: X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  decomposes uniquely as  $\gamma = \alpha \cdot \beta$  where  $\alpha: X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  is of type I relative to  $\varphi$  and  $\beta: X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  is of type II relative to  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Uniqueness follows from the fact that the composition

$$\ker X(D_\varphi) \hookrightarrow X(T_W) \xrightarrow{N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi)} X(T_V)$$

is trivial. It remains to find a decomposition for  $\gamma$ . Choose decompositions  $X(T_W) = \ker X(D_\varphi) \oplus A$  and  $X(T_V) = \operatorname{im} N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi) \oplus B$ . Define the homomorphisms  $\alpha, \beta: X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  by

$$\alpha|_{\ker X(D_\varphi)} = 1, \quad \beta|_{\ker X(D_\varphi)} = \gamma|_{\ker X(D_\varphi)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha|_A = \gamma|_A, \quad \beta|_A = 1.$$

Clearly  $\beta$  is of type II relative to  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha\beta = \gamma$ .

Note that the homomorphism  $N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi): X(T_W) \rightarrow X(T_V)$  induces an isomorphism from  $A$  to its image in  $X(T_V)$ . Thus we may define  $\varepsilon: X(T_V) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  by  $\varepsilon|_B = 1$  and  $\varepsilon(N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi)(\chi)) = \gamma(\chi)$  for  $\chi \in A$ . This shows that  $\alpha$  is of type I relative to  $\varphi$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$   $\square$

In the sequel the following Lemma will be useful:

**Lemma 11.** *If  $\gamma$  is of type I relative to  $\varphi$  then  $\overline{(\gamma\varphi)(V_k)} \subseteq \overline{\varphi(V_k)}$  and in particular  $\dim(\gamma\varphi) \leq \dim \varphi$ . For arbitrary  $\gamma$  the dimension of  $\gamma\varphi$  is at most  $\dim \varphi + (\operatorname{rk} X(T_W) - \operatorname{rk} M_\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be of type I relative to  $\varphi$ . Hence there exists  $\varepsilon: T_V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  such that  $\gamma = \varepsilon \circ N_\varphi^{-1}X(D_\varphi)$ . We have rational evaluation maps  $\operatorname{ev}_\gamma: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow T_W$  and  $\operatorname{ev}_\varepsilon: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow T_V$ , such that  $\operatorname{ev}_\gamma(v) = (f_1(v), \dots, f_n(v))$  where  $f_j$  is the image of the  $j$ -th standard basis vector under  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{M}_G(V)$ , and similarly for  $\varepsilon$ . Now let  $v \in V_k$  such that  $\operatorname{ev}_\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi$  are defined in  $v$ . Choose  $t \in T_V(\bar{k})$  such that  $t^{N_\varphi} = \operatorname{ev}_\varepsilon(v)$ . Then one checks easily that  $\operatorname{ev}_\gamma(v) = D_\varphi(t)$ , whence

$$(\gamma\varphi)(v) = \operatorname{ev}_\gamma(v)\varphi(v) = D_\varphi(t)\varphi(v) = \varphi(tv).$$

This proves the first claim.

The second claim follows from the first, since the image of  $\ker X(D_\varphi) \hookrightarrow X(T_W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G(V)$  is generated by  $r := \operatorname{rk}(\ker X(D_\varphi)) = \operatorname{rk} X(T_W) - \operatorname{rk} M_\varphi$  functions.  $\square$   $\square$

### 3. PROPERTIES OF MULTIHOMOGENEOUS COVARIANTS

**3.1. The rank of the degree-matrix of a multihomogeneous covariant.** Let  $G$  be semi-faithful and  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i, W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  be two faithful representations of  $G$ . For a faithful multihomogeneous covariant  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  we will prove the following interpretation of the rank of the degree-matrix  $M_\varphi$ :

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a faithful multihomogeneous covariant. Assume that  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  are irreducible.*

$$\operatorname{edim}_k G - \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k) \leq \dim \varphi - \operatorname{rk} M_\varphi.$$

*If furthermore  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  are irreducible then*

$$\operatorname{rk} M_\varphi \geq \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k)$$

*with equality if  $\varphi$  is minimal.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z := Z(G, k)$ . We first prove the second inequality. Since  $\varphi$  is at the same time equivariant with respect to the tori- and  $G$ -action  $g\varphi(v) = \varphi(gv) = (D_\varphi g)\varphi(v)$  for  $g \in Z$ . Thus the map  $D_\varphi$  is the identity restricted to  $Z$ . This implies  $Z = D_\varphi(Z) \subset D_\varphi(T)$ , whence  $\operatorname{rk} M_\varphi = \dim D_\varphi(T) \geq \operatorname{rk} Z$ . The first inequality follows from the following:  $\square$   $\square$

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a faithful rational multihomogeneous covariant. Assume that each  $W_j$  in the decomposition of  $W$  is irreducible. If  $\text{rk } M_\varphi \geq \text{rk } Z(G, k)$  there exists a sub-torus  $S \subseteq D_\varphi(T_V)$  of dimension  $\text{rk } M_\varphi - \text{rk } Z(G, k)$  and a  $G$ -invariant open subset  $W' \subseteq \mathbb{A}(W)$  on which  $D_\varphi(T_V)$  acts freely such that the action of  $G$  on the quotient  $(\overline{\text{im } \varphi} \cap W')/S$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* Let  $Z := Z(G, k)$ . The torus  $D_\varphi(T_V)$  has dimension  $d := \text{rk } M_\varphi \geq r := \text{rk } Z$ . By the elementary divisor theorem there exist integers  $c_1, \dots, c_r > 1$  and a basis  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d$  of  $X(D_\varphi(T_V))$  such that

$$Z = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \ker \chi_i^{c_i} \cap \bigcap_{j=r+1}^d \ker \chi_j.$$

Set  $S := \bigcap_{i=1}^r \ker \chi_i$ . This is a subtorus of  $D_\varphi(T_V)$  of rank  $d - r = \text{rk } M_\varphi - \text{rk } Z$  with  $S \cap Z = \{1\}$ .

Let  $W' := \prod_{j=1}^n W'_j$ , where  $W'_j := \mathbb{A}(W_j) \setminus \{0\}$  if  $\varphi_j \neq 0$  and  $W'_j := \mathbb{A}(W_j)$  otherwise. Our convention that  $(M_\varphi)_{ij} = 0$  if  $\varphi_j = 0$  implies that  $D_\varphi(T_V)$  (and therewith  $S$ ) acts freely on  $W'$ . Let  $X := \overline{\text{im } \varphi}$  and set  $X' := X \cap W'$ . Let  $\pi: \mathbb{A}(W) \dashrightarrow W'/S$  be the rational projection map. The kernel of the action of  $G$  on  $X'/S$  is contained in  $Z(G, k)$  by the next lemma. Since  $Z(G, k) \cap S = \{e\}$  it is trivial. Hence the rational map  $\mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow X \dashrightarrow X'/S$  is a compression and  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \dim X'/S = \dim X - \dim S = \dim \varphi - (\text{rk } M_\varphi - \text{rk } Z)$ .  $\square$   $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a faithful multihomogeneous covariant. Let  $P := \prod_{j: \varphi_j \neq 0} \mathbb{P}(W_j) \times \prod_{j: \varphi_j = 0} \mathbb{A}(W_j)$  and  $\pi: \mathbb{A}(W) \dashrightarrow P$  the obvious  $G$ -equivariant rational map and let  $X := \overline{\text{im } \varphi}$ . Then the kernel  $Q$  of the action of  $G$  on  $\pi(X)$  equals  $Z(G, k)$ .*

*Proof.* The elements of the  $k$ -center  $Z(G, k)$  act as scalar on  $\mathbb{A}(W_j)$  for each  $j$ . This implies that  $Z(G, k)$  is contained in  $Q$ . Conversely let  $g \in Q$  and fix some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $\varphi_j \neq 0$ . We want to show that  $g$  acts by multiplication of a (fixed) scalar on  $W_j$ . From this the inclusion  $Q \subseteq Z(G, k)$  follows, since  $\bigoplus_{j: \varphi_j \neq 0} W_j$  is already a faithful completely reducible representation of  $G$ .

Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}(W_j)$  denote the projection of  $X \cap W'$  to  $\mathbb{A}(W_j)$ . Since  $g$  acts trivially on  $\pi(X)$  there exists for every field extension  $k'/k$  and  $y \in Y(k')$  some  $\lambda_y \in \mathbb{G}_m(k')$  such that  $gy = \lambda_y y$ . Since  $g$  has only finitely many eigenvalues  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{G}_m(\bar{k})$  the same holds for its closure  $\overline{Y}$ . Moreover since  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible the scalar  $\lambda := \lambda_y$  does not depend on  $y$ . Since  $\varphi_j \neq 0$  the variety  $\overline{Y}$  contains a non-zero  $k(V)$ -rational point  $y_0$ . By irreducibility of  $W_j \otimes k(V)$  the set  $\{g'y_0 \mid g' \in G\}$  spans  $W_j \otimes k(V)$  as a  $k(V)$ -vector space. It follows that  $g$  acts by multiplication of  $\lambda$  on  $W_j \otimes k(V)$ , hence in the same manner on  $W_j$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$   $\square$

To illustrate the usefulness of the existence of minimal faithful multihomogeneous covariants and Lemma 14 we give a simple corollary. Its first part was already established in [BR97, Theorem 6.1].

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $A$  be abelian and assume that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp A$ . Then*

$$\text{edim}_k A = \text{rk } A.$$

If  $G$  is semi-faithful and if  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \text{rk } Z(G, k) + 1$ , then  $G$  is an extension of a subgroup of  $\text{PGL}_2(k)$  by  $Z(G, k)$ .

If  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \text{rk } Z(G, k)$  then  $G = Z(G, k)$ , hence abelian with  $\zeta_{\exp} G \in k$ .

*Proof.* The inequality  $\text{edim}_k A \leq \text{rk } A$  is easy to see, because  $A$  has a faithful representation of dimension  $\text{rk } A$ . Let  $V$  be a completely reducible faithful representation of  $G$  and let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  be a minimal faithful multihomogeneous covariant of  $G$ . We may assume that  $\varphi_j \neq 0$  for all  $j$ . The group  $G/Z(G, k)$  then acts faithfully on the image of  $\pi_V \circ \varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ , which has dimension at most  $\dim \varphi - \text{rk } Z(G, k) = \text{edim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k) \leq 1$ . Thus  $G/Z(G, k)$  embeds into  $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ . Now if  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \text{rk } Z(G, k)$  then  $\text{edim}_k G = \text{rk } Z(G, k)$  and the image of  $\pi_V \circ \varphi$  must be a point, whence  $G = Z(G, k)$ .  $\square$   $\square$

*Remark 1.* The second part of Corollary 15 can be used to classify semi-faithful groups with  $\text{edim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k) \leq 1$ . For example if  $\text{edim}_k G \leq 2$  and  $Z(G, k)$  is nontrivial one should obtain with the arguments of [KS07, section 10] that  $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_2(k)$ . We haven't checked that in detail, but one observes that the additional possibilities for subgroups of  $\text{PGL}_2(k)$  arising in positive characteristic are not semi-faithful.

**3.2. Behavior under refinement of the decomposition.** Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be a graded vector space. For each  $i$  let  $V_i = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d_i} V_{ik}$  be a grading of  $V_i$ . We call the grading  $V = \bigoplus_{i,k} V_{ik}$  a *refinement* of the grading  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ . Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be a multihomogeneous rational map. We consider refinements both in  $V$  and in  $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  where  $W_j = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{e_j} W_{jl}$ , and study the behavior of the rank of the degree matrix. Set  $d = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i$  and  $e = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j$ .

**Proposition 16.** (A) *Refinement in  $V$ : Let  $\lambda$  be a one-parameter subgroup of  $T_V = \mathbb{G}_m^d$  such that  $H_\lambda(\varphi): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is multihomogeneous w.r.t. the refined grading on  $V$  and the old grading on  $W$ . Then*

$$\text{rk } M_{H_\lambda(\varphi)} \geq \text{rk } M_\varphi.$$

(B) *Refinement in  $W$ : The map  $\varphi$  can be considered as a multihomogeneous map  $\varphi': \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  with respect to the gradings  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$  and  $W = \bigoplus_{j,l} W_{jl}$ , where*

$$\text{rk } M_{\varphi'} = \text{rk } M_\varphi.$$

(C) *Refinement in both  $V$  and  $W$ : Consider  $\varphi'$  as above and let  $\lambda$  be a one-parameter subgroup of  $T_V = \mathbb{G}_m^d$  be such that  $H_\lambda(\varphi'): \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  is multihomogeneous w.r.t. the refined grading on both  $V$  and  $W$ . Then*

$$\text{rk } M_{H_\lambda(\varphi')} \geq \text{rk } M_\varphi.$$

*Proof.* (A) Let  $(a_{i,j}) = M_\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $(b_{ik,j}) = M_{H_\lambda(\varphi)} \in \mathcal{M}_{d,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the degree matrices of  $\varphi$  and  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$ , respectively. Since  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  is still multihomogeneous with respect to the old decomposition of  $V$  we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{d_i} b_{ik,j} = a_{i,j}$  for  $i = 1 \dots m$  and  $j = 1 \dots n$ . Therefore the span of the rows of  $M_{H_\lambda(\varphi)}$  contains the span of the rows of  $M_\varphi$ . Hence  $\text{rk } M_{H_\lambda(\varphi)} \geq \text{rk } M_\varphi$ .

(B) The maps  $\varphi_{jl}: V \dashrightarrow W_{jl}$  are still multihomogeneous of the same degree as  $\varphi_j: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W_j)$ , as long as they are non-zero. If  $\varphi_j$  is non-zero then also one of the  $\varphi_{jl}$  for  $l = 1 \dots e_j$ . Recall that by convention the

matrix entries for zero-components are zero, so that they do not influence the column span of the matrix. Thus the column span of  $M_\varphi$  equals the column span of  $M_{\varphi'}$  and hence  $\text{rk } M_\varphi = \text{rk } M_{\varphi'}$ .

(C) follows from (A) and (B). □

#### 4. COMPLETELY REDUCIBLE FAITHFUL REPRESENTATIONS

**4.1. Minimal number of irreducible components.** In this section we will compute the minimal number of irreducible components of a faithful representation of any semi-faithful group. As a consequence we obtain a characterization of groups, which have a faithful representation with any fixed number of irreducible components. Groups admitting an irreducible faithful representation over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 have been characterized in [Ga54]. A criterion for a group to admit a faithful representation with any fixed number of irreducible components was given by Shoda [Sh30] (in the ordinary case) and Nakayama [Na47] (in the modular case). Their criterion is formulated in a way quite different from Gaschuetz's and our characterization.

**Definition 7.** A *foot* of  $G$  is a minimal nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . The subgroup of  $G$  generated by the (abelian) feet of  $G$  is called the (abelian) *socle* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{soc}(G)$  (resp.  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ ).

By construction  $\text{soc}(G)$  and  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  are normal. The following Lemma is well known and a generalization to countable groups can be found in [BH08].

**Lemma 17.**  $\text{soc}(G) = \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G) \times N_1 \times \cdots \times N_r$ , where  $N_1, \dots, N_r$  are all the non-abelian feet of  $G$ .

For a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $A$  denote by  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A)$  the minimum number of generators:

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A) := \min \{r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists a_1, \dots, a_r \in A : \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}G} = A\} \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

**Proposition 18.** Let  $G$  be a semi-faithful group. Then the minimal number of factors of a decomposition series of a faithful representation of  $G$  over  $k$  equals  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G} \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  if  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G) \neq \{e\}$  and 1 if  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  is trivial. Moreover the minimum is attained by a completely reducible representation.

We start with a lemma explaining how to pass from arbitrary to completely reducible representations.

**Lemma 19.** Let  $V$  be a faithful representation of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F} = V = V_1 \supsetneq V_2 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq V_r \supsetneq V_{r+1} = \{0\}$  be a  $G$ -stable flag. Assume that  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ . If  $G$  does not contain a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $p$ -power order then the associated graded representation  $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}} V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i/V_{i+1}$  is faithful as well. In particular such a group  $G$  is semi-faithful (over  $k$ ).

*Proof.* It is well known that an element of finite order in a unipotent group in characteristic  $p$  has  $p$ -power order. Therefore the kernel of the representation  $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}} V$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  of  $p$ -power order, which by assumption must be trivial. The last statement follows from taking for  $\mathcal{F}$  a decomposition series. □ □

For the proof of Proposition 18 we work with two lattices: Set  $A := \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  and let  $A^* := \text{Hom}(A, k^*)$  denote its group of characters over  $k$ , which is again a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module by endowing  $k^*$  with the trivial  $G$ -action. Denote by  $L(A)$  and  $L(A^*)$

the lattices of  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -invariant subspaces of  $A$  and  $A^*$ , respectively, where the meet-operation is given by  $B \cap C$  and the join-operation by  $B \cdot C$ .

**Lemma 20.** *Assume that either  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $p \nmid |A|$ .*

(A) *The map*

$$\alpha: L(A^*) \rightarrow L(A), \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \{a \in A \mid \ell(a) = 1 \ \forall \ell \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

*yields an anti-isomorphism of  $L(A^*)$  and  $L(A)$  with inverse given by  $\alpha^{-1}(B) = \{\ell \in A^* \mid \ell(a) = 1 \ \forall a \in B\}$ .*

(B) *There exists a (non-canonical) isomorphism of lattices*

$$\beta: L(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(A^*)$$

*which preserves size, i.e.  $|\beta(B)| = |B|$  for all  $B \in L(A)$ .*

(C)  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A^*)$ .

*Proof.* (A) The proof is straightforward.

(B) The  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $A$  is semi-simple by construction and thus decomposes into isotypic components. Every submodule of  $A$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of its intersections with the isotypic components and it suffices to show the claim for every isotypic component of  $A$ . Thus assume  $A = (\mathbb{F}_q)^m \otimes V$  for some prime  $q \neq \text{char } k$ , some natural number  $m$  and some irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_q G$ -module  $V$ , where  $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$  is equipped with the trivial action of  $G$ . Hence we may identify  $A^* = (\mathbb{F}_q)^m \otimes V^*$ . Every  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -invariant subgroup of  $A$  is now of the form  $W \otimes V$  for some sub vector-space  $W \subset \mathbb{F}_q^m$ . Define  $\beta: L(A) \rightarrow L(A^*)$  by  $\beta(W \otimes V) = W \otimes V^*$ . Then  $\beta$  is an isomorphism of lattices and preserves size, since the assumption  $p \nmid |A|$  implies  $|V^*| = |V|$ .

(C) Let  $E_r \subseteq A$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  denote the (possibly empty) set of generating  $r$ -tuples of the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $A$  and let  $\max(L(A))$  be the set of maximal non-trivial elements of  $L(A)$ . The two sets are related by:

$$E_r = A^r \setminus \bigcup_{M \in \max(L(A))} M^r.$$

Similarly for  $E_r^* \subseteq A^*$  and  $\max(L(A^*))$  defined correspondingly with  $A^*$  in place of  $A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_r^* &= (A^*)^r \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \in \max(L(A^*))} \mathcal{L}^r \\ &= (\beta(A))^r \setminus \bigcup_{M \in \max(L(A))} (\beta(M))^r \end{aligned}$$

We claim for any  $r$  that  $|E_r| = |E_r^*|$ . This implies in particular that  $A$  is generated by  $r$  elements if and only if  $A^*$  is, hence  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A^*)$ . The claim follows from part (B) and the exclusion principle, which says that for subsets  $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$  of a set  $Y$  we have

$$|Y \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^t Y_i| = |Y| - \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^{t+1} \sum_{\nu_1 < \dots < \nu_i} |Y_{\nu_1} \cap \dots \cap Y_{\nu_i}|$$

□

□

For the case that  $k$  is not algebraically closed, we need to deal with irreducible representations which are not absolutely irreducible:

**Lemma 21.** (A) Let  $q \neq \text{char } k$  be a prime and  $A$  be an elementary abelian  $q$ -group. Then each non-trivial irreducible representation of  $A$  (over  $k$ ) is isomorphic to a sub-representation of

$$V_{\langle \chi \rangle} := \left\{ \sum_{C \in A/\ker \chi} \gamma_C \left( \sum_{a \in C} a \right) \in kA \mid \sum_{C \in A/\ker \chi} \gamma_C = 0 \right\}$$

where  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A, \bar{k}^*)$ ,  $\chi \neq 1$ .

(B) Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m A_{q_i}$  where  $q_1, \dots, q_m \neq \text{char } k$  are distinct primes and  $A_{q_i}$  is an elementary abelian  $q_i$  group. Then every irreducible representation  $V$  of  $A$  is an exterior tensor product of irreducible representations of  $A_{q_1}, \dots, A_{q_m}$ . Let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  be the characters appearing in  $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$ . Then  $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_r \rangle = \langle \chi_i \rangle$  for every  $i = 1 \dots r$ .

*Proof.* (A) It suffices to show that the group algebra  $kA$  decomposes as

$$\bigoplus_{\langle \chi \rangle \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, \bar{k}^*)} V_{\langle \chi \rangle},$$

where we set  $V_{\langle \chi \rangle} = k \sum_{a \in A} a$  for  $\chi = 1$ , which has dimension one. Let  $n := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} A$ . There are precisely  $\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$  nontrivial subgroups of the form  $\langle \chi \rangle$  and the corresponding subspaces  $V_{\langle \chi \rangle}$  all have dimension  $q - 1$ . Since  $(q - 1) \cdot \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} + 1 \cdot 1 = q^n = |A| = \dim_k kA$  it remains to show that the subspaces  $V_{\langle \chi \rangle}$  form a direct sum, for which we may pass to an algebraic closure. Consider the elements  $\varepsilon_\chi := \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a^{-1})a \in \bar{k}A$  for  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A, \bar{k}^*)$ , which are  $\bar{k}$ -linearly independent. Then  $V_{\langle \chi \rangle} \otimes \bar{k}$  has  $\bar{k}$ -basis  $\varepsilon_\chi, \dots, \varepsilon_{\chi^{q-1}}$  for  $\chi \neq 1$  and  $V_{\langle 1 \rangle}$  has basis  $\varepsilon_0$ . That shows the claim.

(B) Writing  $kA = kA_{q_1} \otimes \dots \otimes kA_{q_m}$  the first claim follows from the fact that the group algebras  $kA_{q_i}$  are of coprime dimensions. The second claim follows now from the description in (A), noting that the representation  $V_{\langle \chi \rangle}$  has character  $\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \chi^i$ .

□

□

The following lemma contains the crucial observation for our study of faithful representations.

**Lemma 22.** Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be a representation of  $G$  with each  $V_i$  irreducible. Let  $A := \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  and choose for every  $i$  some character  $\chi_i \in A^*$  appearing in  $V_i|_A \otimes \bar{k}$ . Then  $V$  is faithful if and only if the characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$  generate  $A^*$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and no nonabelian foot of  $G$  is in the kernel of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L} := \langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}G} \in L(A^*)$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{L} \neq A^*$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the lattice anti-isomorphism from Lemma 20(A) and set  $B := \alpha(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq A$ , which is then a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $A$  contained in the kernel of each  $\chi_i$  and of any power of  $\chi_i$ . Let  $W_i$  be any irreducible sub-representation of  $V_i|_A$  containing the character  $\chi_i$ . By Lemma 21  $W_i \otimes \bar{k} = \sum_{\chi_i} \bar{k}^{\alpha_{ij}}$  for some  $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $B$  acts trivially on  $W_i$ . Now since  $V_i$  is irreducible,  $V_i = \sum_{g \in G} gW_i$  as vector spaces. For  $b \in B$  and  $w \in W_i$  we have  $bgw = g(g^{-1}bg)w = gw$ , since  $B$  is normal. Thus  $B$  acts trivially on  $V$ . Hence  $V$  is not faithful.

Conversely assume that  $V$  is not faithful and no nonabelian foot of  $G$  is in the kernel of  $V$ . Hence some abelian foot  $B$  is in the kernel of  $V$ . This implies that  $B$



lies in the kernel of each  $\chi_i$ , whence in the kernel of each element of  $\mathcal{L}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{L} \neq A^*$ .  $\square$   $\square$

Now we are ready for the proof of the proposition.

*Proof of Proposition 18.* : Recall that a group admitting a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $p$ -power order is not semi-faithful in characteristic  $p$ . From now on assume that  $p \nmid |A|$  where  $A := \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ .

" $\geq$ " Let  $V$  be a faithful representation of  $G$  over  $k$ . We want to show that the number of factors of a decomposition series of  $V$  is at least the maximum of  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A)$  and 1. Clearly it is at least 1. By Lemma 19 we may assume that  $V$  is completely reducible. Lemma 22 implies that the number of irreducible components of  $V$  is at least  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A^*)$ , which equals  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A)$  by Lemma 20(C).

" $\leq$ " We must construct a faithful representation  $V$  over  $k$  with at most  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A)$  irreducible components if  $A$  is non-trivial, and a faithful irreducible representation  $V$  over  $k$  if  $A$  is trivial. We first reduce to the case of  $k$  being algebraically closed: Assume that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$  is a decomposition of a faithful representation into irreducible representations over  $\bar{k}$ . For each  $i$  take any irreducible representation  $V'_i$  over  $k$  which contains  $V_i$  as a decomposition factor over  $\bar{k}$ . Then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V'_i$  is a faithful representation over  $\bar{k}$  and has the same number of irreducible components.

Let  $N_1, \dots, N_t$  be the non-abelian feet of  $G$ . By Lemma 17 the socle of  $G$  decomposes as  $\text{soc } G = A \times N_1 \times \dots \times N_t$ . For each  $i$ , since  $N_i$  has composite order it has a nontrivial irreducible representation  $W_i$ . The (exterior) tensor product  $W := W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_t$  is then irreducible (since  $k = \bar{k}$ ) and does not contain any of  $N_1, \dots, N_t$  in its kernel. If  $A$  is trivial this gives an irreducible representation of  $\text{soc } G$  with the property that no foot of  $G$  is contained in its kernel. Any irreducible representation whose restriction to  $\text{soc } G$  contains  $W$  is then faithful.

From now on assume  $A$  to be non-trivial. There exist  $r := \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A^*) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(A)$  characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  of  $A$  which generate the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $A^*$ . For every  $i$  choose an irreducible representation  $V_i$  of  $G$  whose restriction to  $\text{soc } G$  contains the irreducible representation  $k_{\chi_i} \otimes W$ . Set  $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i$ . By Lemma 22 the representation  $V$  is faithful. Moreover it has the required number of irreducible components. This finishes the proof.  $\square$   $\square$

*Remark 2.* The situation for non-semi-faithful groups is completely different, in so far that the abelian socle tells nothing about the number of decomposition factors needed for a faithful representation. Take for example the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , whose abelian socle are all isomorphic although for large  $n$  these groups need more than any fixed number of decomposition factors for a faithful representation.

*Remark 3.* More generally let  $\Gamma$  be any subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  containing the inner automorphisms. One can define  $\Gamma$ -faithful representations,  $\Gamma$ -feet,  $\Gamma$ -socle, abelian  $\Gamma$ -socle (denoted in the sequel by  $A^\Gamma(G)$ ) as in [BH08] and generalize Proposition 18 in the following way: If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $p \nmid |A^\Gamma(G)|$  then the minimal number of irreducible components of a completely reducible  $\Gamma$ -faithful

representation of  $G$  equals the maximum of  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} A^\Gamma(G)$  and 1. The proof remains basically the same.

There is the following application:

**Corollary 23.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $H \subseteq G$  be a subgroup containing  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  and assume that  $H$  has a faithful representation over  $k$  with  $n$  decomposition factors. If  $\text{char } k \nmid |\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)|$  then  $G$  has a faithful representation with  $n$  decomposition factors as well.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the following Lemma 24 together with Proposition 18. Observe that  $\text{char } k \nmid |\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)|$  implies that  $\text{char } k \nmid |\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H)|$ , hence both groups are semi-faithful.  $\square$   $\square$

**Lemma 24.** *If  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup containing  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  then  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}H} \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H) \geq \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G} \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  generate  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module, where  $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H))$ . Let  $N$  be an  $H$ -invariant complement of  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H) \cap \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  in  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H)$ . Write  $h_i = (g_i, n_i)$  where  $n_i \in N$  and  $g_i \in \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H) \cap \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ . Then  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  generate  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H) \cap \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module. We show that  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  generate  $\text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, which gives the claim. Let  $A$  be any abelian foot of  $G$ . By assumption  $A \subseteq \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G) \subseteq H$ . Let  $B \subseteq A$  be a  $H$ -foot. By construction  $B \subseteq \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(H) \cap \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ , which is generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module. Since  $A$  is minimal, the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module generated by  $B$  equals  $A$ . Hence  $A$  is contained in the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . Since this holds for every abelian foot  $A$  of  $G$  the claim follows.  $\square$   $\square$

There is a simple lower bound on the number of irreducible components needed for a faithful representation, namely the rank of the center of  $G$ . Since representations for which the bound is reached are of some special interest later, we give it a name:

**Definition 8.** A faithful representation  $V$  of a semi-faithful group  $G$  is called *saturated* if it is the direct sum of  $\text{rk } Z(G)$  many irreducible representations of  $G$ .

The group  $G$  is called *saturated* if it has a (faithful) saturated representation. Equivalently (by Proposition 18):

$$\text{rk } Z(G) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}G} \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G) \geq 1.$$

It is sometimes advantageous to pass to saturated groups by taking the product with cyclic groups of high enough rank:

**Proposition 25.** *Let  $\ell \neq \text{char } k$  be any prime number such that  $\zeta_\ell \in k$ . Assume that  $G$  has a completely reducible faithful representation  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$ , each  $V_i$  irreducible. Let  $r$  be the rank of the  $\ell$ -Sylow subgroup of  $Z(G)$ . Then  $V$  carries a faithful representation of  $G \times C_\ell^{n-r}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n - r$ . If  $n - r = 0$  there is nothing to show. Otherwise  $r < n$  and there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that no element of  $G$  acts by multiplication of a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity on  $V_i$  and trivially at the same time on every  $V_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . Thus letting  $C_\ell$  act by multiplication of  $\zeta_\ell$  on  $V_i$  and trivially on  $V_j$  for  $j \neq i$  yields a faithful representation of  $\tilde{G} := G \times C_\ell$  on  $V$ . Now apply the induction hypothesis to  $\tilde{G}$ .  $\square$   $\square$

**4.2. Minimal dimension of faithful representations.** We define the *representation dimension* of  $G$  over  $k$  as follows:

**Definition 9.**  $\text{rdim}_k G := \min\{\dim V \mid V \text{ faithful representation of } G \text{ over } k\}.$

This new numerical invariant gives an upper bound for  $\text{edim}_k G$ . In certain cases the two invariants of  $G$  coincide, e.g. for  $p$ -groups when  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, see [KM08, Theorem 4.1].

**Definition 10.** Let  $A$  be an abelian subgroup of  $G$  and  $\chi \in A^* := \text{Hom}(A, \bar{k}^*)$ .

$$\text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G) := \{V \text{ irreducible representation of } G \mid (V \otimes \bar{k})|_A \supseteq \bar{k}_\chi\},$$

where  $\bar{k}_\chi$  is the one-dimensional representation of  $A$  over  $\bar{k}$  on which  $A$  acts via  $\chi$ .

To every group  $G$  and field  $k$  we associate the following function:

$$f_{G,k}: A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \chi \mapsto \min\{\dim V \mid V \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)\},$$

where  $A = \text{soc}^{\text{ab}}(G)$ .

From Lemma 22 we get the following

**Corollary 26.** *If the socle  $C = \text{soc } G$  of  $G$  is abelian and  $\text{char } k \nmid |C|$ , then*

$$\text{rdim}_k G = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r f_{G,k}(\chi_i) \right\}$$

*taken over all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and all systems of generators  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  of  $C^*$  viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module.*

It may happen that every faithful representation of minimal dimension has more decomposition factors than needed in minimum to create a faithful representation. However in the following situation that doesn't occur and we can describe faithful representations of minimal dimensions more precisely. Recall the definition of a minimal basis introduced in [KM08]:

**Definition 11.** Let  $C$  be a vector space over some field  $F$  of dimension  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  be any function. An  $F$ -basis  $(c_1, \dots, c_r)$  of  $C$  is called *minimal relative to  $f$*  if

$$(3) \quad f(c_i) = \min \{f(c) \mid c \in C \setminus \langle c_1, \dots, c_{i-1} \rangle\},$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  where for  $i = 1$  we use the convention that the span of the empty set is the trivial vector space  $\{0\}$ .

**Proposition 27.** *Let  $G$  be a group whose socle  $C := \text{soc } G$  is a central  $p$ -subgroup for some prime  $p$  and assume  $\text{char } k \neq p$ . Let  $V$  be any representation of  $G$  and let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r$  be its irreducible composition factors ordered increasingly by dimension. Choose characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r \in C^* = \text{Hom}(C, \bar{k}^*)$  such that  $V_i \in \text{rep}^{(\chi_i)}(G)$ . Then  $V$  is faithful of dimension  $\text{rdim}_k G$  if and only if  $r = \text{rk } C$  and  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  forms a minimal basis of  $(C^*, f_{G,k})$  with  $f_{G,k}(\chi_i) = \dim V_i$ . The dimension vector  $(\dim V_1, \dots, \dim V_r)$  is unique amongst faithful representations of dimension  $\text{rdim}_k G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $p \nmid |C|$  we may replace  $V$  by its associated graded representation  $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$  without changing faithfulness, decomposition factors and dimension. Thus we will assume that  $V$  is completely reducible.

First assume that  $V$  is faithful and  $\text{rdim}_k G = \dim V$ . Then the characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  clearly generate  $C^*$  and in particular  $r \geq \text{rk } C$ . Let  $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$  be maximal such that  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  is part of a minimal basis of  $C^*$ . We want to show that  $j = r$ . Assume to the contrary that  $j < r$ . Hence there exists  $\chi \in C^* \setminus \langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_j \rangle$  and  $W \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$  such that  $\dim W < \dim V_i$  for all  $i > j$ . By elementary linear algebra there exists  $i > j$  such that  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{i-1}, \chi, \chi_{i+1}, \dots, \chi_r$  generate  $C^*$  as well. Let  $V' := V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{i-1} \oplus W \oplus V_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$ . Then  $\dim V' < \dim V$  and  $V'$  is faithful, because  $V'$  is faithful restricted to  $C$  and every normal subgroup of  $G$  intersects  $C = \text{soc}(G)$ . This contradicts to  $\dim V = \text{rdim}_k G$ .

Now assume that  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  and  $(\chi'_1, \dots, \chi'_r)$  form two minimal bases of  $C^*$ . We show that  $f_{G,k}(\chi_i) = f_{G,k}(\chi'_i)$  for all  $i = 1 \dots r$ . Let  $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$  be the last index where  $(f_{G,k}(\chi_1), \dots, f_{G,k}(\chi_j))$  and  $(f_{G,k}(\chi'_1), \dots, f_{G,k}(\chi'_j))$  coincide. Assume  $j < r$  and assume  $f_{G,k}(\chi'_{j+1}) < f_{G,k}(\chi_{j+1})$ . Then  $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_j \rangle \neq \langle \chi'_1, \dots, \chi'_j \rangle$ . Hence there exists  $s \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  such that  $\chi'_s \notin \langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_j \rangle$ . Then  $f_{G,k}(\chi_{j+1}) > f_{G,k}(\chi'_{j+1}) \geq f_{G,k}(\chi'_s)$ , which contradicts to the definition of minimal basis. This implies uniqueness of the dimension vector and the converse to the above implication.  $\square$   $\square$

*Remark 4.* Under the assumptions of Proposition 27 let  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  be a minimal basis of  $C^*$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m < r$  be the positions of jumps in the vector  $(f_{G,k}(\chi_1), \dots, f_{G,k}(\chi_r))$ , i.e. the indices  $i$  where  $f_{G,k}(\chi_i) < f_{G,k}(\chi_{i+1})$ . The argument in the proof of Proposition 27 shows that the subgroups  $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{i_j} \rangle$  for  $j = 1 \dots m$  do not depend on the choice of the minimal basis  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$ . This yields a canonical filtration  $C^* = A_{m+1} \supsetneq A_m \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq A_1 \supsetneq A_0 = \{e\}$  of  $C^*$  where  $\text{rk } A_j = i_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . It would be interesting to know whether every basis  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  of  $C^*$  respecting this grading of  $C^*$  is a minimal basis, or equivalently if for all  $j = 0, \dots, m$  and  $\chi, \chi' \in A_{j+1} \setminus A_j$  the equality  $f_{G,k}(\chi) = f_{G,k}(\chi')$  holds.

**Corollary 28.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  be groups. Assume that  $\text{char } k \neq p$  and  $\text{soc } G_i$  is a central  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then*

$$\text{rdim}_k \prod_{i=1}^n G_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{rdim}_k G_i.$$

The (statement and the) proof is very similar to [KM08, Theorem 5.1], which becomes a statement about minimal faithful representations of  $p$ -groups via [KM08, Theorem 4.1]. Since our situation is more general and we do not require  $k$  to contain a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, we append the proof.

*Proof.* Using induction it suffices to show the case  $n = 2$ . Set  $G := G_1 \times G_2$ . Taking into account the description of minimal faithful representations of Proposition 27 it remains to create a minimal basis  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  of  $(\text{soc } G)^* = (\text{soc } G_1)^* \oplus (\text{soc } G_2)^*$  for  $f_{G,k}$  subject to the condition that each  $\chi_i$  is contained in one of  $(\text{soc } G_i)^*$ . Here  $r = \text{rk } Z(G) = \text{rk } Z(G_1) + \text{rk } Z(G_2)$ . Assume that  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_j)$  is part of a minimal basis such that each  $\chi_i$  for  $i \leq j$  is contained in one of  $(\text{soc } G_i)^*$ . Choose  $\chi \in (\text{soc } G)^* \setminus \langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_j \rangle$  with  $f_{G,k}(\chi)$  minimal. Decompose  $\chi$  as  $\chi^{(1)} \oplus \chi^{(2)}$  where  $\chi^{(i)} \in (\text{soc } G_i)^*$  and choose  $W \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$  of minimal dimension. The definition of  $\text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$  means that  $\bar{k}_\chi \subseteq W \otimes \bar{k}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  denote the endomorphism of  $G$  sending  $(g_1, g_2)$  to  $(g_1, e)$  and to  $(e, g_2)$ , respectively. The representation  $\rho_W \circ \varepsilon_i$  contains  $\bar{k}_{\chi^{(i)}}$  and has the same dimension as  $W$ . Now replace  $\chi$  by  $\chi^{(i)}$  with  $i$  such

that  $\chi^{(i)}$  lies outside the subgroup of  $(\text{soc } G)^*$  generated by  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_j$ . This shows the claim.  $\square$   $\square$

**4.3. Central extensions.** In this subsection we consider central extensions, as investigated in section 6, from the point of representation theory.

**Proposition 29.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-faithful group and let  $H$  be a central subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \cap [G, G] = \{e\}$ . Let  $H'$  be a direct factor of  $G/[G, G]$  containing the image of  $H$  under the embedding  $H \hookrightarrow G/[G, G]$  and assume that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp H'$ . Then*

$$\text{rdim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k) \leq \text{rdim}_k G/H - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k).$$

Moreover, if  $\text{soc } G$  is a central  $p$ -subgroup the above inequality is an equality.

Recall that  $G$  is semi-faithful (over  $k$ ) if and only if either  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $G$  has no nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroups. We need some auxiliary results:

**Lemma 30.** *In the situation of the proposition  $G/H$  is semi-faithful as well. Moreover there exist characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  of  $G$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \ker \chi_i \cap H = \{e\}$ , where  $r = \text{rk } H$ . In particular  $G$  has a faithful completely reducible representation of the form  $V = V' \oplus k^r$  where  $V'$  is a completely reducible representation of  $G$  with kernel  $H$  and  $G$  acts on  $k^r$  via  $g(x_1, \dots, x_r) = (\chi_1(g)x_1, \dots, \chi_r(g)x_r)$ .*

**Lemma 31.** *In the situation of the proposition, the quotient homomorphism  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  induces isomorphisms  $Z(G)/H \simeq Z(G/H)$  and  $Z(G, k)/H \simeq Z(G/H, k)$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 30.* We first show that  $G/H$  is semi-faithful over  $k$ . The case that  $\text{char } k = 0$  is trivial, hence assume that  $k$  has prime characteristic  $p$ . We now make use of the fact that a group is semi-faithful over  $k$  if and only if it does not contain any non-trivial normal abelian  $p$ -subgroups. Assume that  $G/H$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup  $P \neq \{e\}$ . Then the inverse image  $B'$  of  $P$  under the natural projection is abelian again, since  $[B', B'] \subseteq [G, G] \cap H = \{e\}$ . Its  $p$ -Sylow subgroup is then a nontrivial normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . This contradicts to the assumption that  $G$  is semi-faithful over  $k$ .

Now let  $H'$  be a direct factor of the image of  $H$  in  $G/[G, G]$  with  $\zeta_{\exp H'} \in k$  and let  $Z$  denote its complement. Since  $k$  contains a primitive root of order  $\exp H'$  there exist characters  $\tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_r$  of  $H'$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \ker \tilde{\chi}_i$  intersects trivially with the image of  $H$  in  $H'$ . Now define  $\chi_i$  by  $\chi_i(g) = \tilde{\chi}_i(\pi_2 \pi_1(g))$  where  $\pi_1: G \rightarrow G/[G, G]$  and  $\pi_2: G/[G, G] \simeq H' \times Z \rightarrow H'$  are the obvious projection homomorphisms. By construction  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \ker \chi_i \cap H = \{e\}$ .  $\square$   $\square$

*Remark 5.* Actually one can show that the conditions of Proposition 29 are equivalent to the existence of a faithful representation of  $G$  of the form given in Lemma 30. The most economical choice for  $H'$  is the (unique up to isomorphism) maximal subgroup of  $G/[G, G]$  subject to the condition  $\text{soc } H' = \text{soc } H$ , or in other words, such that for every prime  $p$  the  $p$ -Sylow-subgroup of  $H'$  contains the  $p$ -Sylow-subgroup of  $H$  and has the same rank.

*Proof of Lemma 31.* Restricting  $\pi$  to  $Z(G)$  and  $Z(G, k)$  we get homomorphism  $Z(G) \rightarrow Z(G/H)$  and  $Z(G, k) \rightarrow Z(G/H, k)$ . It remains to show that the two maps are surjective. The map  $Z(G) \rightarrow Z(G/H)$  is easily seen to be surjective, because if some  $g \in G$  commutes with any other  $g' \in G$  up to elements of  $H$ , then it is central, because  $[G, G] \cap H = \{e\}$ . For the second map let  $\pi_2: G/[G, G] = Z \times H' \rightarrow H'$

denote the projection and consider the homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G/H \times H', g \mapsto (\pi(g), \pi_2(g[G, G]))$ , which is injective. If  $\pi(g) \in Z(G/H, k)$  then  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\text{ord}(\pi(g))$  as well as a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp H'$ . Thus  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\text{ord } g$ , whence  $g \in Z(G, k)$ .  $\square$   $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 29.* Using induction on the order of  $H$  we may assume that  $H$  is cyclic: The case that  $|H| = 1$  is clear. If  $|H| > 1$  then  $H$  contains some cyclic subgroup  $H_0 \subsetneq H$ . By Lemma 30  $G/H_0$  is semi-faithful. If  $H'$  is a direct factor of  $G/[G, G]$  containing  $H$  then it contains  $H_0$  as well. Moreover  $H'/H_0$  is a direct factor of  $(G/H_0)/[G/H_0, G/H_0]$  and its exponent is no larger than the exponent of  $H'$ . Induction yields for the subgroups  $H_0 \subseteq G$  and  $H/H_0 \subseteq G/H_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rdim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k) &\leq \text{rdim}_k G/H_0 - \text{rk } Z(G/H_0, k) \\ \text{rdim}_k G/H_0 - \text{rk } Z(G/H_0, k) &\leq \text{rdim}_k G/H - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k), \end{aligned}$$

with equality if  $\text{soc } G$  (and therewith  $\text{soc}(G/H)$ ) is a central  $p$ -subgroup. Combining the two lines shows the claim.

We assume now that  $H$  is cyclic. Let  $V$  be a faithful representation of  $G/H$  with  $\dim V = \text{rdim}_k G/H$ . By Lemma 19 we may assume that  $V$  is completely reducible,  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and irreducible representations  $V_i$ . We must construct a faithful representation of  $G$  of dimension  $\dim V + \text{rk } Z(G, k) - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k)$ . By (the proof of) Lemma 30 there exists a faithful representation of  $G$  of the form  $V \oplus k_\chi$  where  $\chi$  is a character whose restriction to  $H$  is faithful.

If  $\text{rk } Z(G, k) = \text{rk } Z(G/H, k) + 1$  this does the job. Otherwise  $\text{rk } Z(G, k) = \text{rk } Z(G/H, k)$  and we will consider representations  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i \otimes \chi^{m_i}$  for  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$  has the right dimension. We will choose  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$  becomes faithful. In general let  $g$  act trivially on  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$ . This implies that for each  $i$  the element  $g$  acts like  $\chi^{-m_i}$  on  $V_i$ . In particular the image of  $g$  in  $G/H$  is an element of  $Z(G/H, k)$ . Since  $Z(G/H, k) \simeq Z(G, k)/H$  under the canonical projection this implies that  $g \in Z(G, k)$ . Hence  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$  is a faithful representation of  $G$  if and only if it is faithful restricted to  $Z(G, k)$ .

The elements of  $Z(G, k)$  act through multiplication with characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  of  $Z(G, k)$  on  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ . Let  $\hat{\chi}$  denote the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $Z(G, k)$ . Then the elements of  $Z(G, k)$  act through the characters  $\chi_1 \hat{\chi}^{m_1}, \dots, \chi_n \hat{\chi}^{m_n}$  on the irreducible components of  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$ . Using (the second part) of the following Lemma 32 we find  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that  $\chi_1 \hat{\chi}^{m_1}, \dots, \chi_n \hat{\chi}^{m_n}$  generate the whole group  $\text{Hom}(Z(G, k), \mathbb{G}_m)$  of characters. Then  $V_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$  is faithful restricted to  $Z(G, k)$ , hence, as previously observed, faithful for  $G$ .

Now assume that  $C := \text{soc } G$  is a central  $p$ -group. It then consist precisely of the central elements of exponent  $p$  of  $G$ . We want to show  $\text{rdim}_k G/H \leq \text{rdim}_k G - (\text{rk } Z(G, k) - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k))$ . By assumption  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $|H|$  and we may assume  $H \neq \{e\}$ , hence  $\zeta_p \in k$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i$  be a faithful representation of  $G$  with  $\text{rdim}_k G = \dim V$  and each  $V_i$  irreducible. There exist characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r \in C^* := \text{Hom}(C, k^*)$  such that  $cv_i = \chi_i(c)v_i$  for  $c \in C$  and  $v_i \in V_i$ . Faithfulness of  $V$  is equivalent to the statement that  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  generate  $C^*$ . In particular  $r = \text{rk } Z(G) = \text{rk } C$ , since  $V$  is minimal. Now as in the first part of the proof let  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, k^*)$  be a character which is faithful restricted to  $H$ . By elementary linear algebra there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that

$\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{i-1}, \chi|_H, \chi_{i+1}, \dots, \chi_r$  is a basis of  $C^*$ . Replacing  $V_i$  by  $k_\chi$  we get a faithful representation of  $G$  of minimal dimension which is of the form  $V' \oplus k_\chi$ . Moreover by multiplying the irreducible components of  $V'$  with suitable powers of  $\chi$  we may assume that  $H$  acts trivially on  $V'$ . Then the representation  $V'' := k_{\chi|_H} \oplus V'$  is a faithful representation of  $G/H$ . This establishes the inequality  $\text{rdim}_k G/H \leq \text{rdim}_k G - (\text{rk } Z(G) - \text{rk } Z(G/H))$  in case that  $\text{rk } Z(G) = \text{rk } Z(G/H)$ . In the other case  $\text{rk } Z(G) = \text{rk } Z(G/H) + 1$ . In that case  $\text{soc}(G/H) \simeq C/(H \cap C)$ , which is faithfully represented on  $V'$ , turning  $V'$  into a faithful representation of  $G/H$  of dimension  $\text{rdim}_k G - 1$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$   $\square$

**Lemma 32.** (A) *Let  $A$  be an abelian group generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ . Then if  $\text{rk } A < n$  there exist  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  co-prime such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i = 0$ .*  
 (B) *Let  $A$  be an abelian group generated by elements  $c_1, \dots, c_n, h$ . Assume that  $\text{rk } A \leq n$ . Then there exist  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $A = \langle c_1 + m_1 h, \dots, c_n + m_n h \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* (A) This follows from the elementary divisor theorem applied to the kernel of the map  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow A$  sending the  $i$ -th basis vector of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  to  $a_i \in A$ .  
 (B) First assume that the order of  $h$  is of the form  $p^l$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\text{rk } A \leq n$  part (A) shows that there exist  $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  co-prime such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i c_i = e_0 h$ . Now if  $e_0$  is not divisible by  $p$  we get that  $h \in \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$  and we can set  $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 0$ . Otherwise there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $e_i$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Then choose  $m_i$  such that  $e_i m_i \equiv 1 - e_0 \pmod{p^l}$  and set  $m_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Then  $\sum_{j=1}^n e_j (c_j + m_j h) = (e_0 + e_i m_i) h = h$ , hence  $h \in \langle c_1 + m_1 h, \dots, c_n + m_n h \rangle$  and it follows that  $A = \langle c_1 + m_1 h, \dots, c_n + m_n h \rangle$ .

Now if  $h$  is arbitrary we decompose it as  $h = \sum_{i=1}^s h_i$  where  $h_i$  is of order  $p_i^{l_i}$  for some primes  $p_1 < \dots < p_s$  and  $l_1, \dots, l_s \in \mathbb{N}$  and apply the just proved statement iteratively to  $A_j = \langle c_1, \dots, c_n, h_1, \dots, h_j \rangle$  for  $j = 1 \dots s$  with generators taken from the previous step plus  $h_j$ . This gives elements  $m_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq s$  with  $A_j = \langle c_1 + \sum_{t=1}^j m_{1,t} h_t, \dots, c_n + \sum_{t=1}^j m_{n,t} h_t \rangle$ . We have  $A = A_s$ . The Chinese remainder theorem now implies the claim.  $\square$   $\square$

**Corollary 33.** *Let  $G$  and  $A$  be groups, where  $G$  is semi-faithful and  $A$  is abelian. Assume that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp A$ . Then*

$$\text{rdim } G \times A - \text{rk } Z(G, k) \times A \leq \text{rdim } G - \text{rk } Z(G, k)$$

*with equality if  $\text{soc } G$  is a central  $p$ -subgroup.*

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 29 to the central subgroup  $\{e\} \times A \subseteq G \times A$ .  $\square$   $\square$

## 5. RELATION OF COVARIANT AND ESSENTIAL DIMENSION

The following theorem generalizes [KLS08, Theorem 3.1], which covers the case  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 34.** *Let  $G$  be non-trivial and semi-faithful. Then  $\text{covdim}_k G = \text{edim}_k G$  if and only if  $Z(G, k)$  is non-trivial. Otherwise  $\text{covdim}_k G = \text{edim}_k G + 1$ .*

*Remark 6.* The theorem does not hold if  $\text{char } k = p$  and  $G$  contains a normal  $p$ -subgroup. Consider for example an elementary abelian  $p$ -group, which has essential dimension 1 by [Le07, Proposition 5], but covariant dimension 2, as the following argument shows: It is enough to consider the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $V$  denote the 2-dimensional representation of  $G$  where a generator  $g \in G$  acts as  $g(s, t) = (s, s + t)$ . Suppose that there exists a regular faithful covariant  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  with  $X = \text{im } \varphi$  of dimension 1. Then any element  $g$  induces an automorphism of order  $p$  on the normalization of  $X$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Since in characteristic  $p$  no automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  of order  $p$  has fixed points we get a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem 34 remains basically the same as in [KLS08, section 3]. We will append it for convenience.

*Proof of Theorem 34.* Let  $Z := Z(G, k)$  and let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$  be a faithful representation where each  $V_i$  is irreducible. The case when  $Z$  is trivial follows from Theorem 12, since  $M_\varphi$  cannot be the zero-matrix for any regular multihomogeneous covariant  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$ . Thus assume that  $Z$  is non-trivial. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  be a minimal multihomogeneous covariant.

First assume that there exists a row vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that all entries of  $\alpha := \beta M_\varphi$  are strictly positive. We may assume that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\varphi = \frac{\psi}{f}$  where  $f \in k[V]^G$  is multihomogeneous and  $\psi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  is a (faithful) regular multihomogeneous covariant. Consider  $\tilde{\varphi} = (f^{\alpha_1} \varphi_1, \dots, f^{\alpha_n} \varphi_n)$ . It is of the form  $\gamma \varphi$  where  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(X(T_V), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$  is of type I relative to  $\varphi$ . Since  $\alpha_j > 0$  for all  $j$  the covariant  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is regular. Lemma 11 implies

$$\text{covdim}_k G \leq \dim \tilde{\varphi} \leq \dim \varphi = \text{edim}_k G.$$

We reduce to the case above by post-composing with a covariant as in Example 1. Let  $g \in Z \setminus \{e\}$  and write  $M_\varphi = (m_{ij})$ . Since  $V$  is faithful the element  $g$  acts non-trivially on some  $V_j$ . For such  $j$  one of the  $m_{ij}$ 's must be non-zero. Fix  $i_0$  and  $j_0$  with  $m_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0$ . Then  $\varphi_{j_0} \neq 0$  and we can find a homogeneous  $h \in k[W_{j_0}]^G$  of degree  $\deg h > 0$  such that  $h \circ \varphi_{j_0} \neq 0$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  consider the covariant

$$\varphi': \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V), \quad v \mapsto h^r(\varphi_{j_0}(v))\varphi(v).$$

Since  $h \circ \varphi_{j_0} \neq 0$  and  $\varphi$  is faithful,  $\varphi'$  is faithful, too. Clearly  $\dim \varphi' \leq \dim \varphi = \text{edim}_k G$ . Moreover  $\varphi'$  is multihomogeneous of degree  $M_{\varphi'} = (m'_{ij})$  where  $m'_{ij} = m_{ij} + r \deg h m_{i_0 j_0}$ . For suitable  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  this yields a matrix  $M_{\varphi'}$  where all  $m'_{i_0 j}$  for  $j = 1 \dots n$  are strictly positive. Now for  $\beta = e_{i_0}$  the entries of  $\alpha = \beta M_{\varphi'}$  are all strictly positive and we are in the case above.  $\square$   $\square$

## 6. THE CENTRAL EXTENSION THEOREM

As announced in the introduction we shall prove a generalization of the central extension theorem.

**Theorem 35.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-faithful group. Let  $H$  be a central subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \cap [G, G] = \{e\}$ . Let  $H'$  be a direct factor of  $G/[G, G]$  containing the image of  $H$  under the embedding  $H \hookrightarrow G/[G, G]$  and assume that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp H'$ . Then*

$$\text{edim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k) = \text{edim}_k G/H - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k).$$



*Remark 7.* Theorem 35 generalizes the following results about central extensions: [BR97, Theorem 5.3], [Ka06, Theorem 4.5], [KLS08, Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 4.7], as well as [BRV08, Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.2] and [BRV07, Lemma 11.2]. Chang's version generalizes the result of Buhler and Reichstein to fields of arbitrary characteristic. A closer look reveals that it covers precisely the case of Theorem 35 when  $H$  is cyclic of prime order and maximal amongst cyclic subgroups of  $Z(G, k)$ . The results of [KLS08] do not have these additional assumptions, but they only work for groups  $G$  with  $\text{rk } Z(G) \leq 2$  and are formulated for the field of complex numbers. Brosnan, Reichstein and Vistoli's Lemma 11.2 from [BRV07] gives the inequality  $\text{edim}_k G \geq \text{edim}_k G/H$ . Theorem 7.1 from [BRV08] for fields with  $\text{char } k \nmid |G|$  extends [Ka06, Theorem 4.5] in the sense that it does not assume any more that  $H$  has prime order, but still it makes the assumption that  $H$  is maximal amongst central cyclic subgroups of  $G$ . Corollary 7.2 from [BRV08] is restricted to  $p$ -groups and it assumes that  $H$  is a direct factor of  $Z(G)$ .

If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group then Theorem 35 can be deduced from the theorem of Karpenko and Merkurjev about the essential dimension of  $p$ -groups and Proposition 29.

*Proof of Theorem 35.* As in the proof of Proposition 29 we may assume that  $H$  is cyclic and there is a faithful representation of  $G$  of the form  $V \oplus k_\chi$  where  $\chi$  is faithful on  $H$  and  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$  is a completely reducible representation with kernel  $H$ . We prove the two inequalities of the equation  $\text{edim}_k G - \text{edim}_k G/H = \text{rk } Z(G, k) - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k)$  separately:

" $\leq$ ": Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  be a minimal faithful multihomogeneous covariant of  $G/H$ . Define a faithful covariant of  $G$  via

$$\Phi: \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi), \quad (v, t) \mapsto (\varphi(v), t).$$

Clearly  $\Phi$  is multihomogeneous again of rank  $\text{rk } M_\Phi = \text{rk } M_\varphi + 1 = \text{rk } Z(G/H, k) + 1$ . Moreover by Theorem 12,

$$\text{edim}_k G \leq \dim \Phi - (\text{rk } M_\Phi - \text{rk } Z(G, k)) = \text{edim}_k G/H - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k) + \text{rk } Z(G, k).$$

" $\geq$ ": Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi)$  be a minimal faithful multihomogeneous covariant of  $G$ . Let  $m := |H|$  and consider the  $G$ -equivariant regular map  $\pi: \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_{\chi^m})$  defined by sending  $(v, t) \mapsto (v, t^m)$ . It is a quotient of  $\mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi)$  by the action of  $H$ . The composition  $\varphi' := \pi \circ \varphi: \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_{\chi^m})$  is  $H$ -invariant, hence we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_\chi) \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \varphi' & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_{\chi^m}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_{\chi^m}) \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{\varphi}: \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_{\chi^m}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V \oplus k_{\chi^m})$  is a faithful  $G/H$ -covariant. Since  $\pi$  is finite the rational maps  $\varphi, \varphi'$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  all have the same dimension  $\text{edim}_k G$ . Moreover  $\varphi'$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  are multihomogeneous as well. The degree matrix  $M_{\varphi'}$  is obtained from  $M_\varphi$  by multiplying its last column by  $m$  and from  $M_{\bar{\varphi}}$  by multiplying its last row by  $m$ . Hence  $\text{rk } M_\varphi = \text{rk } M_{\varphi'} = \text{rk } M_{\bar{\varphi}}$ . Application of Theorem 12 yields:  $\text{edim}_k G/H - \text{rk } Z(G/H, k) \leq \dim \bar{\varphi} - \text{rk } M_{\bar{\varphi}} = \text{edim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 36.** *Let  $G$  and  $A$  be groups, where  $G$  is semi-faithful and  $A$  is abelian. Assume that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $\exp A$ . Then*

$$\operatorname{edim}_k G \times A - \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k) \times A = \operatorname{edim}_k G - \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 35 to the central subgroup  $\{e\} \times A \subseteq G \times A$ . □ □

**Example 2.** Consider a group  $G_0$  which is generated by a normal subgroup  $H$  and an element  $g \in G_0 \setminus H$ . Let  $m := \operatorname{ord}(g)$  and  $n := \operatorname{ord}(gH)$  be the orders of  $g$  in  $G$  and in the quotient  $G/H$ . We form the semi-direct product  $G := C_m \rtimes H$  by letting a generator  $c$  of  $C_m$  act on  $H$  via conjugation by  $g$ . Consider the surjective homomorphism

$$\alpha: G = C_m \rtimes H \rightarrow G_0 \text{ given by } \alpha(c) = g \text{ and } \alpha(h) = h \text{ for } h \in H.$$

Its kernel is generated by  $x := c^n g^{-n}$ , hence cyclic of order  $r := m/n$ . The elements  $c$  and  $g^n$  commute in  $G$  and  $x$  lies in the center of  $G$ , since  $[x, c] = e$  and  $[x, h] = (c^n(g^{-n}hg^n)c^{-n})h^{-1} = (g^n(g^{-n}hg^n)g^{-n})h^{-1} = e$  for  $h \in H$ . We obtain a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow G \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 1.$$

The intersection  $[G, G] \cap \langle x \rangle$  is trivial, since  $[G, G] \subseteq H$ . Now let  $\pi$  be the set of prime divisors of the order of the abelian socle of  $G = C_m \rtimes H$  and assume  $\operatorname{char} k \notin \pi$ . Then

$$\operatorname{edim}_k C_m \rtimes H = \operatorname{edim}_k G_0 + \operatorname{rk} Z(C_m \rtimes H, k) - \operatorname{rk} Z(G_0, k).$$

Another application of the central extension theorem is the following:

**Corollary 37.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-faithful group with faithful completely reducible representation  $V$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  be a minimal faithful multihomogeneous covariant. Assume that  $k$  contains a primitive root of unity of order  $p$  for some prime  $p$ . Then the rational map  $\pi_V \circ \varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  has exactly dimension  $\dim \varphi - \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k)$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality  $\dim \pi_V \circ \varphi \leq \dim \varphi - \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k)$  was already shown previously. We use saturation to prove the reversed inequality. We may assume that the rank of  $Z(G, k)$  equals the rank of its  $p$ -Sylow subgroup. By Proposition 25  $V$  admits a faithful representation of  $\tilde{G} := G \times C_p^{n-r}$  where  $n = \dim T_V$  and  $r = \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k) = \operatorname{rk} M_\varphi$ .

Corollary 9 implies the existence of  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}(X(T_V), \mathcal{M}_G(V))$  such that  $\gamma\varphi$  is  $D$ -equivariant for  $D = \operatorname{Id}_{T_V}$ . This turns  $\tilde{\varphi} := \gamma\varphi$  into a faithful (multihomogeneous) covariant for  $\tilde{G}$ . Corollary 36 shows that  $\dim \tilde{\varphi} \geq \operatorname{edim}_k \tilde{G} = \operatorname{edim}_k G + (n - r)$ . Since  $\pi_V \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \pi_V \circ \varphi$  we get  $\dim \pi_V \circ \varphi = \dim \pi_V \circ \tilde{\varphi} \geq \dim \tilde{\varphi} - n \geq \dim \varphi - r$ , showing the claim. □ □

## 7. SUBGROUPS AND DIRECT PRODUCTS

**Proposition 38.** *Let  $H \subseteq G$  be a subgroup. Assume that  $G$  has a completely reducible faithful representation which remains completely reducible when restricted to  $H$ . Then*

$$\operatorname{edim}_k G - \operatorname{rk} Z(G, k) \geq \operatorname{edim}_k H - \operatorname{rk} Z(H, k).$$

*Proof.* Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be a faithful representation of  $G$  with each  $V_i$  irreducible and completely reducible as a representation of  $H$  and let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  be a minimal faithful covariant which is multihomogeneous. By Theorem 12  $\text{rk } M_\varphi = \text{rk } Z(G, k)$ . Now consider  $\varphi$  as covariant for  $H$ . By Proposition 16 the rank doesn't go down replacing  $\varphi$  by a multihomogenization  $H_\lambda(\varphi)$  with respect to a refinement into irreducible representations for  $H$ . Hence again by Theorem 12  $\text{edim}_k H - \text{rk } Z(H, k) \leq \dim H_\lambda(\varphi) - \text{rk } M_{H_\lambda(\varphi)} \leq \dim \varphi - \text{rk } M_\varphi = \text{edim}_k G - \text{rk } Z(G, k)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.* There exist pairs  $(H, G)$  of a group  $G$  with subgroup  $H$  such that both  $H$  and  $G$  are semi-faithful over  $k$ , but none of the completely reducible faithful representations of  $G$  restricts to a completely reducible representation of  $H$ . We found some examples using the computer algebra system [MAGMA], the smallest (in terms of the order of  $G$ ) is a pair of the form  $H = S_3$ ,  $G = C_2 \times (C_3 \times (C_3 \times C_3))$  in characteristic 2. Also there are examples in order 72 with  $G = Q_8 \times (C_3 \times C_3)$  or  $G = C_8 \times (C_3 \times C_3)$ .

**Proposition 39.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be semi-faithful groups. Then*

$$\text{edim}_k G_1 \times G_2 - \text{rk } Z(G_1 \times G_2, k) \leq \text{edim}_k G_1 - \text{rk } Z(G_1, k) + \text{edim}_k G_2 - \text{rk } Z(G_2, k).$$

*Proof.* Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  and  $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$  be faithful representations of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively, where each  $V_i$  and  $W_j$  is irreducible. Let  $\varphi_1: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  and  $\varphi_2: \mathbb{A}(W) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(W)$  be minimal faithful multihomogeneous covariants for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Then  $\text{rk } M_{\varphi_1} = \text{rk } Z(G_1, k)$  and  $\text{rk } M_{\varphi_2} = \text{rk } Z(G_2, k)$  by Theorem 12. The covariant  $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2: \mathbb{A}(V \oplus W) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V \oplus W)$  for  $G_1 \times G_2$  is again faithful and multihomogeneous with  $\text{rk } M_\varphi = \text{rk } M_{\varphi_1} + \text{rk } M_{\varphi_2} = \text{rk } Z(G_1, k) + \text{rk } Z(G_2, k)$ . Thus, by Theorem 12,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{edim}_k G_1 \times G_2 - \text{rk } Z(G_1 \times G_2, k) &\leq \dim \varphi - \text{rk } M_\varphi \\ &= \dim \varphi_1 + \dim \varphi_2 - \text{rk } Z(G_1, k) - \text{rk } Z(G_2, k). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim \varphi_1 = \text{edim}_k G_1$  and  $\dim \varphi_2 = \text{edim}_k G_2$  this implies the claim.  $\square$   $\square$

*Remark 9.* We do not know of an example where the inequality in Proposition 39 is strict.

## 8. TWISTING BY TORSORS

Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  be a faithful representation of  $G$  where each  $V_i$  is irreducible and let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  be a multihomogeneous covariant of  $G$  with  $\varphi_j \neq 0$  for all  $j$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{P}(V) := \mathbb{P}(V_1) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}(V_m)$  the product of the projective spaces. It is the quotient of a dense open subset of  $\mathbb{A}(V)$  by the action of  $T_V$ . We write  $\pi_V: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  for the corresponding rational quotient map. Since  $\varphi$  is multihomogeneous there exists a unique rational map  $\psi: \mathbb{P}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{A}(V) \\ \downarrow \pi_V & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ \mathbb{P}(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}(V) \end{array}$$

commute. Let  $Z := Z(G, k)$ , which acts trivially on  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  and let  $C \subseteq Z$  be any subgroup. We view  $\psi$  as an  $H := G/C$ -equivariant rational map. We will twist the map

$\psi$  (after scalar extension) by some  $H$ -torsor to get a rational map between products of Severi-Brauer varieties. We summarize the construction and basic properties of the twist construction, cf. [Fl08, section 2]:

Let  $K$  be a field and  $H$  be a finite group. A (*right-*)  $H$ -torsor (over  $K$ ) is a non-empty not necessarily irreducible  $K$ -variety  $E$  equipped with a right action of  $H$  such that  $H$  acts freely and transitively on  $E(K_{\text{sep}})$ . The isomorphism classes of  $H$ -torsors (over  $K$ ) correspond bijectively to the elements of the Galois cohomology set  $H^1(K, H)$ , where an isomorphism class of an  $H$ -torsor  $E$  corresponds to the class of the cocycle  $\alpha = (\alpha_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma_K}$  defined by  $\gamma x = x\alpha_\gamma$ , where  $x$  is any fixed element of  $E$  and  $\Gamma_K = \text{End}_K(K_{\text{sep}})$  is the absolute Galois-group of  $K$ . Every  $H$ -torsor is of the form  $\text{Spec } L$  where  $L/K$  is a Galois  $H$ -algebra.

Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective  $H$ -variety over  $K$ . Let  $H$  act on the product  $E \times X$  by  $h(e, x) = (eh^{-1}, hx)$ . Then the quotient  $(E \times X)/H$  exists in the category of  $K$ -varieties and will be denoted by  ${}^E X$ . It is called the *twist of the  $H$ -variety  $X$  by the torsor  $E$* .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasi-projective  $H$ -varieties and  $\psi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  is a rational map, there exists a canonical rational map  ${}^E \psi: {}^E X \dashrightarrow {}^E Y$ . Moreover if  $Z$  is another quasi-projective variety and  $\psi_1: X \dashrightarrow Y$  and  $\psi_2: Y \dashrightarrow Z$  are composable, then  ${}^E \psi_1: {}^E X \dashrightarrow {}^E Y$  and  ${}^E \psi_2: {}^E Y \dashrightarrow {}^E Z$  are composable as well with composition  ${}^E(\psi_2 \circ \psi_1)$ .

Let  $A$  be a central simple  $K$ -algebra on which  $H$  acts on the left by algebra-homomorphisms. Let  $E$  be a  $H$ -torsor corresponding to a Galois  $H$ -algebra  $L/K$ . The *twist of  $A$  by the torsor  $E$* , denoted by  ${}^E A$  is defined to be the subalgebra of  $H$ -invariants of  $A \otimes_K L$  where  $H$  acts via  $h(a \otimes l) = ha \otimes hl$ .

If  $E \simeq H$  is the trivial  $H$ -torsor then the twist  ${}^E X$  (resp.  ${}^E A$ ) is isomorphic to  $X$  (resp.  $A$ ). The varieties  $X$  and  ${}^E X$  (resp. the algebras  $A$  and  ${}^E A$ ) become isomorphic over a splitting field  $K'/K$  of  $E$  (i.e. over a field where  $E$  has a  $K'$ -rational point). Let  $U$  be a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ . The algebra  $\text{End}_K(U)$  carries an action from  $\text{PGL}(U)$  via conjugation. Isomorphism classes of central simple  $K$ -algebras of degree  $n$  correspond bijectively to the elements of  $H^1(K, \text{PGL}(U))$ , via the following assignment: For  $T \in H^1(K, \text{PGL}(U))$ , represented by a cocycle  $\alpha = (\alpha_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma_K}$ , the corresponding central simple algebra is defined to be the sub-algebra of invariants of  $\text{End}(U) \otimes_K K_{\text{sep}}$  under the action of  $\Gamma_K$  twisted through  $\alpha$ , defined by  $\gamma \cdot_\alpha (\varphi \otimes \lambda) = (\alpha_\gamma \varphi) \otimes (\gamma \lambda)$  for  $\varphi \in \text{End}(U)$  and  $\lambda \in K_{\text{sep}}$ .

The three different notions of twisting are related as follows:

**Lemma 40.** *Let  $U$  be a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ . The group  $\text{PGL}(U)$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  from the right in the obvious way and on  $\text{End}(U)$  via conjugation from the left. Let  $\beta: H \rightarrow \text{PGL}(U)$  be a homomorphism and let  $E$  be a  $H$ -torsor over  $K$ . Let  $H$  act on  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  and on  $\text{End}(U)$  via the homomorphism  $\beta$ . Then  ${}^E \mathbb{P}(U) \simeq \text{SB}(A)$  where  $A := {}^E \text{End}(U)$ . Moreover  $A$  is isomorphic to the central simple algebra corresponding to the image of  $E$  under the map  $H^1(K, H) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H^1(K, \text{PGL}(U))$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is [Fl08, Lemma 3.1]. For the second part, let  $E = \text{Spec}(L)$  for some Galois  $H$ -algebra  $L$  and fix  $\iota \in \text{Hom}(L, K_{\text{sep}}) = E(K_{\text{sep}})$ . Then the image of  $E$  in  $H^1(K, \text{PGL}(U))$  is represented by the cocycle  $\alpha = (\beta(h_\gamma))_{\gamma \in \Gamma_K}$  where  $h_\gamma \in H$  is such that  $\gamma \iota = \iota h_\gamma$ . In other words  $\gamma(\iota(\ell)) = (\iota h_\gamma)(\ell) = \iota(h_\gamma \ell)$  for all  $\ell \in L$ . Recall that  $A = {}^E \text{End}(U)$  is the sub-algebra of  $H$ -invariants of  $\text{End}(U) \otimes L$  and the twist  $B$  of  $\text{End}(U)$  by the cocycle  $\alpha$  is the sub-algebra of  $\Gamma_K$  invariants of  $\text{End}(U) \otimes K_{\text{sep}}$

under the action twisted by the cocycle  $\alpha$ . Consider the homomorphism of  $K$ -algebras  $\varepsilon := \text{Id} \otimes \iota: \text{End}(U) \otimes L \rightarrow \text{End}(U) \otimes K_{\text{sep}}$ . It is equivariant in the sense that  $\varepsilon(h_\gamma x) = \gamma \cdot_\alpha \varepsilon(x)$  for  $x \in \text{End}(U) \otimes L$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ . To see this, we may check it for  $x = \varphi \otimes \ell$  where  $\varphi \in \text{End}(U)$  and  $\ell \in L$ . Then  $\varepsilon(h_\gamma x) = h_\gamma \varphi \otimes \iota(h_\gamma \ell)$  and  $\gamma \cdot_\alpha \varepsilon(x) = \gamma \cdot_\alpha (\varphi \otimes \iota(\ell)) = \beta(h_\gamma) \varphi \otimes \gamma(\iota(\ell)) = h_\gamma \varphi \otimes \iota(h_\gamma \ell)$ . This shows that  $\varepsilon(A) \subseteq B$ . Since  $A$  is simple, the homomorphism  $\varepsilon$  maps  $A$  injectively into  $B$ . Counting dimensions yields  $\varepsilon(A) = B$ . Hence  $\varepsilon$  establishes an isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras between  $A$  and  $B$ , showing the claim.  $\square$   $\square$

We will now apply the twist construction to our particular situation. Let  $K/k$  be a field extension and  $E$  be an  $H$ -torsor over  $K$ . Extending scalars to  $K$  we may twist the map  $\psi_K$  with  $E$  and get a rational map  ${}^E\psi_K: {}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K) \dashrightarrow {}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)$ .

**Lemma 41.**  ${}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \text{SB}(A_i)$ , where  $A_i$  is the twist of  $\text{End}_K(V_i \otimes K)$  by the  $H$ -torsor  $E$  and  $\text{End}_K(V_i \otimes K)$  carries the conjugation action induced from  $G$ . Moreover the class of  $A_i$  in  $\text{Br}(K)$  coincides with the image  $\beta^E(\chi)$  of  $E$  under the map

$$H^1(K, H) \rightarrow H^2(K, C) \xrightarrow{\chi^*} H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Br}(K)$$

where  $\chi \in C^*$  is the character defined by  $gv = \chi(g)v$  for  $g \in C$  and  $v \in V_i$ .

*Proof.* The first claim follows from Lemma 40. For the second claim (cf. [KM08, Lemma 4.3]) consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(K, H) & \longrightarrow & H^2(K, C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (\chi_i)_* \\ H^1(K, \text{PGL}(V_i \otimes K)) & \longrightarrow & H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m) \end{array}$$

arising from the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \chi_i & & \downarrow \rho_{V_i \otimes K} & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(V_i \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \text{PGL}(V_i \otimes K) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

This shows that the image  $\beta^E(\chi_i)$  of a torsor  $E$  over  $K$  in  $H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m)$  coincides with the Brauer-class of the central simple algebra corresponding to the image of  $E$  in  $H^1(K, \text{PGL}(V_i \otimes K))$ . By Lemma 40 this is precisely the twist of  $\text{End}(V_K)$  by the  $H$ -torsor  $E$ .  $\square$   $\square$

**Definition 12.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth projective varieties. The number  $e(X)$  is defined as the least dimension of the closure of the image of a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of field extensions of some field  $K$ . A generic field of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a field  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  such that for every  $L \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a  $k$ -place  $E \rightsquigarrow L$ . The canonical dimension of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the least transcendence degree over  $K$  of a generic field of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\text{cd}(\mathcal{C})$  (possibly infinite).

If  $X$  is a  $K$ -variety or if  $D \subseteq \text{Br}(K)$  is a subgroup, the canonical dimension of  $X$  (resp.  $D$ ) is defined as the canonical dimension of the class of splitting fields of  $X$  (resp.  $D$ ), i.e. the class of field extensions  $L/K$ , for which  $X(L) \neq \emptyset$  (resp. for

which  $D$  lies in the kernel of the homomorphism  $\mathrm{Br}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(L)$ . It is denoted by  $\mathrm{cd}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{cd}(D)$ ).

**Lemma 42** ([KM06, Corollary 4.6]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective  $K$ -variety. Then  $e(X) = \mathrm{cd}(X)$ .*

We only need the inequality  $e(X) \geq \mathrm{cd}(X)$  which is established as follows: Let  $\psi: X \dashrightarrow X$  be a rational map with  $\dim \psi = e(X)$  and let  $Y$  be the closure of the image of  $\psi$ . One can show that  $K(Y)$  is a generic splitting field for  $X$ . Hence  $\mathrm{cd}(X) \leq \mathrm{tdeg}_K K(Y) = \dim \psi = e(X)$ .

**Lemma 43.**

$$\mathrm{edim}_k G - \mathrm{rk} Z(G, k) \geq e({}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)) = \mathrm{cd}({}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)) = \mathrm{cd}(\mathrm{im} \beta^E)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$  and  $\psi: \mathbb{P}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  be as in the beginning of this section and assume that  $\varphi$  is minimal, i.e.  $\dim \varphi = \mathrm{edim}_k G$ . By functoriality we have  $\dim {}^E\psi_K \leq \dim \psi_K$ . Hence

$$e({}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)) \leq \dim {}^E\psi_K \leq \dim \psi_K = \dim \psi.$$

We now show that  $\dim \psi \leq \dim \varphi - \mathrm{rk} Z(G, k)$ . Let  $X := \overline{\mathrm{im} \varphi} \subseteq \mathbb{A}(V)$ . The fibers of  $\pi_V|_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  are stable under the torus  $D_\varphi(T_V) \subseteq T_V$ . The dimension of  $D_\varphi(T_V)$  is greater or equal to  $\mathrm{rk} Z(G, k)$ , since it contains the image of  $Z(G, k)$  under  $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Moreover  $D_\varphi(T_V)$  acts generically freely on  $X$ . Hence the claim follows by the fiber dimension theorem. Lemma 42 implies  $e({}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)) = \mathrm{cd}({}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K))$ . The equality  $\mathrm{cd}({}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)) = \mathrm{cd} \mathrm{im} \beta^E$  follows easily by Lemma 41, since it shows that the class of splitting fields of the variety  ${}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)$  is identical to the class of common splitting fields of  $\beta^E(\chi_1), \dots, \beta^E(\chi_m)$ . Since  $V$  is faithful restricted to  $C$  the characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$  generate  $C^*$ . Hence the splitting fields of  ${}^E\mathbb{P}(V_K)$  are precisely the splitting fields of the image of  $\beta^E$  in  $\mathrm{Br}(K)$ .  $\square \quad \square$

*Remark 10.* Lemma 43 substitutes one part of the proof of the Theorem of Karpenko and Merkurjev about the essential dimension of a  $p$ -group  $G$  when  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, saying that  $\mathrm{edim}_k G = \mathrm{rdim}_k G$ . They show in that case that  $\mathrm{edim}_k G \geq \mathrm{edim}[E/G] = \mathrm{cd}(\mathrm{im} \beta^E) + \mathrm{rk} Z(G)$  where  $E$  is a generic  $G/C$ -torsor,  $C := \mathrm{soc}(Z(G))$  and  $[E/G]$  is the corresponding quotient stack, see [KM08, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 3.1]. Our Lemma is more general because  $C$  does not need to be a  $p$ -group. Probably one could also use the stack theoretic approach to show the result of Lemma 43, but using multihomogeneous covariants seems more elementary.

*Remark 11* (The choice of the subgroups  $C \subseteq Z(G, k)$ ). Karpenko and Merkurjev work with the subgroup of elements of exponent  $p$  in  $Z(G, k)$ . In their setting  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and  $\zeta_p \in k$ , so  $C$  is the smallest subgroup of  $Z(G)$  with the same rank as  $Z(G)$ . In general the best lower bound is obtained with the maximal choice, i.e. with the subgroup  $C = Z(G, k)$ . This is seen as follows: Set  $Z = Z(G, k)$ . For a  $G/C$ -torsor  $E'$  over  $K$  let  $E$  denote its image under  $H^1(K, G/C) \rightarrow H^1(K, G/Z)$ . Then for any  $\chi \in Z^*$  we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(K, G/C) & \longrightarrow & H^2(K, C) & \xrightarrow{(\chi|_C)^*} & H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ H^1(K, G/Z) & \longrightarrow & H^2(K, Z) & \xrightarrow{\chi^*} & H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(K) \end{array}$$

Since every element of  $C^*$  is the restriction of some character  $\chi \in Z^*$  this shows that  $\text{im}(\beta^E) = \text{im}(\beta^{E'})$ , hence their canonical dimensions coincide.

In general we don't know whether the choice of the subgroup of elements of exponent  $p$  in  $Z(G, k)$  gives the same lower bound.

We quote two key results from [KM08]:

**Theorem 44** ([KM08, Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.9]). *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $K$  be a field and  $D \subseteq \text{Br}(K)$  be a finite  $p$ -subgroup of rank  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{cd } D = \min \{ \sum_{i=1}^r (\text{Ind } a_i - 1) \}$  taken over all generating sets  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  of  $D$ . Moreover if  $D$  is of exponent  $p$  then the minimum is attained for every minimal basis  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  of  $D$  for the function  $d \mapsto \text{Ind } d$  on  $D$ .*

**Theorem 45** ([KM08, Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.5]). *Let  $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence of algebraic groups over some field  $k$  with  $C$  central and diagonalizable. Then there exists a generic  $H$ -torsor  $E$  over some field extension  $K/k$  such that for all  $\chi \in C^*$ :*

$$\text{Ind } \beta^E(\chi) = \gcd\{\dim V \mid V \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)\}.$$

The following corollary works for a slightly larger class of groups than  $p$ -groups. It becomes [KM08, Theorem 4.1] under the observation that all irreducible representations of  $p$ -groups have  $p$ -primary dimension when  $\zeta_p \in k$ .

**Corollary 46** (cf. [KM08, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group whose socle  $C$  is a central  $p$ -subgroup for some prime  $p$  and let  $k$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Assume that for all  $\chi \in C^*$  the equality*

$$\gcd\{\dim V \mid V \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)\} = \min\{\dim V \mid V \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)\}$$

*holds. Then  $\text{edim}_k G = \text{rdim}_k G$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \text{rdim}_k G$  is clear. By the assumption on  $k$  we have  $\text{rk } C = \text{rk } Z(G, k) = \text{rk } Z(G)$ . Hence, by Lemma 43, it suffices to show  $\text{cd}(\text{im } \beta^E) = \text{rdim}_k G - \text{rk } C$  for a generic  $H := G/C$ -torsor  $E$  over a field extension  $K$  of  $k$ .

By Theorem 44 there exists a basis  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  of  $\text{im } \beta^E$  such that  $\text{cd}(\text{im } \beta^E) = \sum_{i=1}^s (\text{Ind } a_i - 1)$ . Choose a basis  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  of  $C^*$  such that  $a_i = \beta^E(\chi_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and  $\beta^E(\chi_i) = 1$  for  $i > s$  and choose  $V_i \in \text{rep}^{(\chi_i)}(G)$  of minimal dimension. By assumption  $\dim V_i = \gcd\{\dim V \mid V \in \text{rep}^{(\chi_i)}(G)\}$ , which is equal to the index of  $\beta^E(\chi_i)$  for the  $H$ -torsor of Theorem 45.

Set  $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$ . This is a faithful representation since every normal subgroup of  $G$  intersects  $C = \text{soc } G$  non-trivially. Then  $\text{cd}(\text{im } \beta^E) = \sum_{i=1}^s (\text{Ind } a_i - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^r \text{Ind } \beta^E(\chi_i) - \text{rk } C = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim V_i - \text{rk } C = \dim V - \text{rk } C \geq \text{rdim}_k G - \text{rk } C$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

The following was conjectured in case of cyclic subgroups of the Brauer group and proved (over fields of characteristic 0) for cyclic groups of order 6 in [CKM07].

**Conjecture 47.** *Let  $D \subseteq \text{Br}(K)$  be a finite subgroup. Then*

$$\text{cd } D = \sum_p \text{cd } D(p),$$

*where  $D(p)$  denotes the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $D$ .*

*Remark 12.* Brosnan, Reichstein and Vistoli asked the following question in [BRV07, section 7]: “Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth projective varieties over a field  $K$ . Assume that there are no rational functions  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  or  $Y \dashrightarrow X$ . Then is it true that  $e(X \times Y) = e(X) + e(Y)$ ?” It remains true in our case that “a positive answer to this question would imply the conjecture above”.

**Corollary of Conjecture 48.** *Let  $G$  be a group whose socle  $C := \text{soc } G$  is central and let  $k$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity for every prime  $p$  dividing  $|C|$ . Assume that for all  $\chi \in C^*$  of prime order  $\min \dim W = \gcd \dim W$  taken on both sides over all  $W \in \text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$ . Then*

$$\text{edim}_k G = \dim V - \sum_p \text{rk } C(p) + \text{rk } C,$$

where  $V = \bigoplus_p V_p$  is a faithful representation of  $G$ , the direct sum being taken over all primes  $p$  dividing  $|C|$ , and  $V_p$  is of minimal dimension amongst representations of  $G$  whose restriction to  $C(p)$  is faithful.

**Example 3.** Using the computer algebra systems [MAGMA] and [GAP] (and [SAGE] to combine the two) we found several examples of non-nilpotent groups for which [CKM07, Theorem 1.3] applies when  $k$  is a field containing  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ . These are groups (of order 432) with  $\text{soc } G = Z(G) \simeq C_6$  whose Sylow 2- and 3-subgroup have essential dimension 2 and 3, respectively. Corollary 48 gives for their essential dimension  $\text{edim}_k G = (2 + 3) - 2 + 1 = 4$ .

*Proof.* “ $\leq$ ”: Consider the multihomogeneous covariant  $\text{Id}: \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$ . Theorem 12 implies  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \dim \text{Id} - (\text{rk } M_{\text{Id}} - \text{rk } Z(G, k)) = \dim V - \sum_p \text{rk } C(p) + \text{rk } C$ .

“ $\geq$ ”: Choose a generic  $G/C$ -torsor  $E$ . Then  $\text{edim}_k G \geq \text{cd}(\text{im } \beta^E) + \text{rk } C$ , by Lemma 43. The  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the image of the abelian group  $C = \bigoplus_p C(p)$  equals  $\beta^E(C(p))$ . Conjecture 47 implies that  $\text{cd } \text{im } \beta^E = \sum_p \text{cd } \beta^E(C(p))$ , which can be computed with the help of Theorems 44 and 45. Similarly as in the proof of Corollary 46 we get the claim, using the replacement of  $\gcd$  by  $\min$ .  $\square$   $\square$

**Example 4.** Let  $G$  be nilpotent, i.e. the direct product of its Sylow subgroups  $G(p)$ ,  $p$  prime. Assume that  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity for every prime  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ . Then Conjecture 47 and its corollary imply

$$\text{edim}_k G = \sum_p (\text{rdim}_k G(p) - \text{rk } C(p)) + \text{rk } C.$$

## 9. NORMAL ELEMENTARY $p$ -SUBGROUPS

Suppose that we are in the case of a non-semi-faithful group  $G$ . Recall that this happens precisely when  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $G$  contains a nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroup  $A$ . Replacing  $A$  by the elements of  $Z(A)$  of exponent  $p$  (which is again normal in  $G$ ) we may assume that  $A$  is  $p$ -elementary. In particular  $\text{edim}_k A = 1$  by [Le07, Proposition 5]. We would like to relate  $\text{edim}_k G$  and  $\text{edim}_k G/A$  and use this iteratively to pass to the semi-faithful case.

Merkurjev’s description of essential dimension as the essential dimension of the Galois cohomology functor  $H^1(\_, G)$  from the category of field extensions of  $k$  to the category of sets (see [BF03]) gives the following:



**Proposition 49.** *If  $A$  is an elementary  $p$ -group contained in the center of  $G$  and if  $\text{char } k = p$  then*

$$(*) \quad \text{edim}_k G/A \leq \text{edim}_k G \leq \text{edim}_k G/A + 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is central there is the following exact sequence in Galois cohomology:

$$1 \rightarrow H^1(-, A) \rightarrow H^1(-, G) \rightarrow H^1(-, G/A) \rightarrow H^2(-, A) = 1.$$

Thus  $H^1(-, G) \rightarrow H^1(-, G/A)$  is a surjection of functors. In particular  $\text{edim}_k G/A \leq \text{edim}_k G$  by [BF03, Lemma 1.9].

We have an action of  $H^1(-, A)$  on  $H^1(-, G)$  as follows: Let  $K/k$  be a field extension and let  $[\alpha] \in H^1(K, A)$  and  $[\beta] \in H^1(K, G)$  and set  $[\alpha] \cdot [\beta] := [\alpha\beta] \in H^1(K, G)$ . Since  $A$  is a central  $\alpha\beta$  satisfies the cocycle condition and its class in  $H^1(K, G)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Moreover it is well known that two elements of  $H^1(K, G)$  have the same image in  $H^1(K, G/A)$  if and only if one is transformed from the other by an element of  $H^1(K, A)$ , see [Se64]. Thus we have a transitive action on the fibers of  $H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^1(K, G/A)$ , and this action is natural in  $K$ . That means we have a fibration of functors

$$H^1(-, A) \rightsquigarrow H^1(-, G) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(-, G/A).$$

Now [BF03, Proposition 1.13] yields  $\text{edim}_k G \leq \text{edim}_k G/A + \text{edim}_k A = \text{edim}_k G/A + 1$ .  $\square$

*Remark 13.* If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and  $A$  is a (not necessarily central) elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup contained in the Frattini subgroup of  $G$  then [Le04] gives the relations  $(*)$  as well.

**Example 5.** Let  $G$  denote the perfect group of order  $8! = 40320$  which is a central extension of  $A_8$  by  $C_2$ . The socle of this group  $\text{soc } G = C_2$  is central.

**Claim:**  $\text{edim}_k G = 8$  if  $\text{char } k \neq 2$  and  $\text{edim}_k G \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  if  $\text{char } k = 2$ .

*Proof.* First consider the case when  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ . There exists a faithful irreducible representation of  $G$  of degree 8 with entries in  $\mu_2(k) \simeq C_2$ . This implies in particular that  $\text{edim}_k G \leq 8$ . Moreover one may check using a Computer algebra system like [MAGMA] or [GAP] that the degree of every faithful irreducible representation of  $G$  is a multiple of 8. The faithful irreducible representations of  $G$  are precisely the elements of  $\text{rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$  where  $\chi$  is the non-trivial character of  $\text{soc } G = C_2$ . Hence the claim follows with Corollary 46.

Now consider the case of  $\text{char } k = 2$ . Proposition 49 implies that  $\text{edim}_k A_8 \leq \text{edim}_k G \leq \text{edim}_k A_8 + 1$ . The essential dimension of  $A_8 \simeq \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is either 2 or 3, see [Ka06, Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 5.6], and the claim follows.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to my PhD adviser Hanspeter Kraft for many fruitful discussions about covariant and essential dimension and the content of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [BH08] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, *Irreducibly represented groups*, [arXiv:math/0611814v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611814v2).
- [BR97] J. Buhler, Z. Reichstein, *On the Essential Dimension of a Finite Group* *Compositio Math.*, **106** (1997), 159–179.
- [BRV07] P. Brosnan, Z. Reichstein, A. Vistoli, *Essential dimension and Algebraic stacks*, <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~reichst/pub.html>, 2007.

- [BRV08] P. Brosnan, Z. Reichstein, A. Vistoli *Essential dimension and Algebraic stacks I*, Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures Preprint Server, <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/LAG/man/275.pdf>, 2008.
- [CKM07] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev, *Rational surfaces and canonical dimension of  $\mathrm{PGL}_6$* .
- [BF03] G. Berhuy, G. Favi *Essential Dimension: a Functorial Point of View (after A. Merkurjev)* Documenta Mathematica **8** (2003), 279–330.
- [F108] M. Florence *On the essential dimension of cyclic  $p$ -groups*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 175–189.
- [Ga54] W. Gaschütz *Endliche Gruppen mit treuen absolut-irreduziblen Darstellungen*. Math. Nachr. **12** (1954) 253–255.
- [GAP] M. Schönert et al. GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming. Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, Rheinisch Westfälische Technische Hochschule, Aachen, Germany, third edition, 1993.
- [Ka06] M.C. Kang, *Essential dimensions of finite groups* [arxiv:math/0611673](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611673), 2006.
- [KM06] N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev *Canonical  $p$ -dimension of algebraic groups*, Adv. Math. **205**, **2** (2006), 410–433.
- [KM08] N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev *Essential dimension of finite  $p$ -groups* Inventiones mathematicae, **172** (2008), 491–508.
- [KLS08] H. Kraft, R. Lötscher, G. Schwarz *Compression of Finite Group Actions and Covariant Dimension II* [arXiv:0807.2016](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.2016), 2008.
- [KS07] H. Kraft, G. Schwarz *Compression of Finite Group Actions and Covariant Dimension* J. Algebra **313** (2007), 268–291.
- [Le07] A. Ledet *Finite Groups of Essential Dimension One* J. Algebra **311** (2007), 31–37.
- [Le04] A. Ledet *On the essential dimension of  $p$ -groups* Galois Theory and Geometry with Applications, Springer Verlag, 2004, 159–172
- [MAGMA] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust. *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*. J. Symbolic Comput., **24(3-4)** (1997), 235–265.
- [Na47] T. Nakayama *Finite Groups with Faithful Irreducible and Directly Indecomposable Modular Representations* Proceedings of the Japan Academy **23** 1947, 22–25.
- [Re04] Z. Reichstein *Compressions of group actions* Invariant Theory in all Characteristics, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes vol. **35**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, **35** (2004), 199–202.
- [SAGE] W. Stein, *Sage: Open Source Mathematical Software (Version 3.0.6)*, The Sage Group, 2008, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [Se64] J.P. Serre *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, lecture notes in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
- [Sh30] K. Shoda, *Über direkt zerlegbare Gruppen*, Journ. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Imp. Univ. Section I, Vol. **II-3** (1930); correction, Vol. **II-7**(1931).